

“Minimal geometric data” approach to Dirac algebra, spinor groups and field theories

Daniel Canarutto

Dipartimento di Matematica Applicata “G. Sansone”,

Via S. Marta 3, 50139 Firenze, Italia

<http://www.dma.unifi.it/~canarutto>

2nd revised version, 13 February 2008

Abstract

The three first sections contain an updated, not-so-short account of a partly original approach to spinor geometry and field theories introduced by Jadczyk and myself [3, 4, 5]; it is based on an intrinsic treatment of 2-spinor geometry in which the needed background structures have not to be assumed, but rather arise naturally from a unique geometric datum: a vector bundle with complex 2-dimensional fibres over a real 4-dimensional manifold. The two following sections deal with Dirac algebra and 4-spinor groups in terms of two spinors, showing various aspects of spinor geometry from a different perspective. The last section examines particle momenta in 2-spinor terms and the bundle structure of 4-spinor space over momentum space.

AMS 2000 MSC: 15A66, 83C22.

Keywords:

Dirac algebra, spinor groups, two-spinors, Einstein-Cartan-Maxwell-Dirac fields

Acknowledgements: Thanks are due to Carlo Franchetti (Dipartimento di Matematica Applicata “G. Sansone”, Firenze) for useful discussions and suggestions.

Contents

1 Two-spinor geometry	3
1.1 Complex conjugated spaces	3
1.2 Hermitian tensors	4
1.3 Two-spinor space	5
1.4 2-spinors and Minkowski space	6
1.5 From 2-spinors to 4-spinors	7
1.6 Further structures	8
1.7 2-spinor groups	9
1.8 2-spinor groups and Lorentz group	10
2 Two-spinor bundles	11
2.1 Two-spinor connections	11
2.2 Two-spinor tetrad	13

2.3	Cotetrad	14
2.4	Tetrad and connections	15
2.5	The Dirac operator	16
3	Two-spinors and field theories	16
3.1	The fields	16
3.2	Gravitational Lagrangian	17
3.3	Electromagnetic Lagrangian	18
3.4	Dirac Lagrangian	19
3.5	Field equations	20
4	Dirac algebra in two-spinor terms	21
4.1	Dirac algebra	21
4.2	Decomposition of $\text{End } \mathbf{W}$ and ε -transposition	22
4.3	ε -adjoint and characterization of \mathbf{D}	23
5	Clifford group and its subgroups	24
5.1	Clifford group	24
5.2	Pin and Spin	27
6	Spinors and particle momenta	29
6.1	Particle momentum in two-spinor terms	29
6.2	Bundle structure of 4-spinor space over momentum space	31

Introduction

The precise equivalence between the 4-spinor and 2-spinor settings for electrodynamics was exposed by Jadczyk and myself in [2, 3, 4, 5]. In summary one sees that, from an algebraic point of view, the only notion of a complex 2-dimensional vector space \mathbf{S} yields, naturally and without any further assumptions, all the needed algebraic structures through functorial constructions; conversely in a 4-spinor setting, provided one makes the minimum assumptions which are needed in order to formulate the standard physical theory, the 4-spinor space naturally splits (Weyl decomposition) into the direct sum of two 2-dimensional subspaces which are anti-dual to each other. In a sense, which setting one regards as fundamental is then mainly a matter of taste. The 4-spinor setting is closer to standard notations, and some formulas can be written in a more compact way, while the relations among the various objects are somewhat more involved. The 2-spinor setting turns out to give a much more direct formulation, in which all the basic objects and the relations among them naturally set into their places; just from \mathbf{S} one automatically gets *exactly* the needed algebraic structure, nothing more, nothing less: 4-spinor space \mathbf{W} with the ‘Dirac adjoint’ anti-isomorphism, Minkowski space \mathbf{H} and Dirac map $\gamma : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbf{W})$ with the required properties. Further objects which are commonly considered depend on the choice of a gauge of some sort, whose nature is precisely described.

When we consider a vector bundle $\mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$, where now the fibres are complex 2-dimensional and \mathbf{M} is a real 4-dimensional manifold, then we don’t have to assign any further background structure in order to formulate a full Einstein-Cartan-Maxwell-Dirac theory. In fact we naturally get a vector bundle $\mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ whose fibres are

Minkowski spaces, a 4-spinor bundle $\mathbf{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ and so on. Any object which is not determined by geometric construction from the unique geometric datum $\mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ is a *field* of the theory, namely we consider: the tetrad $\Theta : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbf{H}$, the 2-spinor connection \mathbf{F} , the electromagnetic and Dirac fields. (Even coupling factors naturally arise as covariantly constant sections of the real line bundle \mathbb{L} of *length units*, which is geometrically constructed from \mathbf{S} .) The gravitational field is described by the tetrad (which can be seen as a ‘square root’ of spacetime metric) and by the connection induced by \mathbf{F} on \mathbf{H} , while the remaining part of the spinor connection can be viewed as the electromagnetic potential. A natural Lagrangian density for all these fields is then introduced; the relations between metric and connection and between e.m. potential and e.m. field follow from the (Euler-Lagrange) field equations. All considered, this setting has some original aspects but is not in contrast to the (by now classical) Penrose formalism [12].

In §4 and §5 I’ll show how the above said algebraic setting, and in particular the natural splitting of the 4-spinor space into the direct sum of its Weyl subspaces, enables us to examine the structures of the Dirac algebra, the Clifford group and its subgroups from a different perspective.

In §6 I’ll show the strict relation existing between the two-spinor setting and the geometry of particle momenta, in particular the bundle structure of \mathbf{W} over the space of momenta. These results are a preparation to a 2-spinor formulation of quantum electrodynamics along the lines of a previous paper [6], in which the classical structure underlying electron states is a 2-fibred bundle over spacetime.

1 Two-spinor geometry

In this section we’ll see how all the fundamental geometric structures needed for Dirac theory naturally arise through functorial constructions from a two-dimensional complex vector space, with no further assumptions.

1.1 Complex conjugated spaces

If \mathbf{A} is a set and $f : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is any map, then $\bar{f} : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : a \mapsto \overline{f(a)}$ is the conjugated map. Let \mathbf{V} be a complex vector space of finite-dimension n ; its *dual* space \mathbf{V}^\star and *antidual* space $\mathbf{V}^{\star\star}$ are defined to be the n -dimensional complex vector spaces of all maps $\mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which are respectively linear and antilinear. One then has the distinguished anti-isomorphism $\mathbf{V}^\star \rightarrow \mathbf{V}^{\star\star} : \lambda \mapsto \bar{\lambda}$.

Set now $\overline{\mathbf{V}} := \mathbf{V}^{\star\star\star}$, and call this the *conjugate space* of \mathbf{V} . One has the natural isomorphisms

$$\mathbf{V} \cong \mathbf{V}^{\star\star} \cong \mathbf{V}^{\star\star\star}, \quad \overline{\mathbf{V}} := \mathbf{V}^{\star\star\star} \cong \mathbf{V}^{\star\star}.$$

Summarizing, one gets the four distinct spaces

$$\mathbf{V} \leftrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{V}}, \quad \mathbf{V}^\star \leftrightarrow \mathbf{V}^{\star\star},$$

where the arrows indicate the conjugation anti-isomorphisms.

Accordingly, coordinate expressions have four types of indices. Let (\mathbf{b}_A) , $1 \leq A \leq n$, be a basis of \mathbf{V} and (\mathbf{b}^A) its dual basis of \mathbf{V}^\star . The corresponding indices in the conjugate spaces are distinguished by a dot, namely one writes

$$\bar{\mathbf{b}}_A := \overline{\mathbf{b}_A}, \quad \bar{\mathbf{b}}^A := \overline{\mathbf{b}^A},$$

so that $\{\bar{b}_A\}$ is a basis of $\bar{\mathbf{V}}$ and $\{\bar{b}^A\}$ its dual basis of $\bar{\mathbf{V}}^\star$. For $v \in \mathbf{V}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{V}^\star$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} v &= v^A b_A , & \bar{v} &= \bar{v}^{A'} \bar{b}_{A'} , \\ \lambda &= \lambda_A b^A , & \bar{\lambda} &= \bar{\lambda}_{A'} \bar{b}^{A'} , \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{v}^A = \overline{v^A}$, $\bar{\lambda}_A := \overline{\lambda_A}$ and Einstein summation convention is used.

The conjugation morphism can be extended to tensors of any rank and type; if τ is a tensor then all indices of $\bar{\tau}$ are of reversed (dotted/non-dotted) type; observe that dotted indices cannot be contracted with non-dotted indices. In particular if $K \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{V}) \subset \mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V}^\star$ then $\bar{K} \in \text{Aut}(\bar{\mathbf{V}}) \subset \bar{\mathbf{V}} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{V}}^\star$ is the induced conjugated transformation (under a basis transformation, dotted indices transform with the conjugate matrix).

1.2 Hermitian tensors

The space $\mathbf{V} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{V}}$ has a natural real linear (complex anti-linear) involution $w \mapsto w^\dagger$, which on decomposable tensors reads

$$(u \otimes \bar{v})^\dagger = v \otimes \bar{u} .$$

Hence one has the natural decomposition of $\mathbf{V} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{V}}$ into the direct sum of the *real* eigenspaces of the involution with eigenvalues ± 1 , respectively called the *Hermitian* and *anti-Hermitian* subspaces, namely

$$\mathbf{V} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{V}} = (\mathbf{V} \bar{\vee} \bar{\mathbf{V}}) \oplus i(\mathbf{V} \bar{\vee} \bar{\mathbf{V}}) .$$

In other terms, the Hermitian subspace $\mathbf{V} \bar{\vee} \bar{\mathbf{V}}$ is constituted by all $w \in \mathbf{V} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{V}}$ such that $w^\dagger = w$, while an arbitrary w is uniquely decomposed into the sum of an Hermitian and an anti-Hermitian tensor as

$$w = \frac{1}{2}(w + w^\dagger) + \frac{1}{2}(w - w^\dagger) .$$

In terms of components in any basis, $w = w^{AB} b_A \otimes \bar{b}_B$ is Hermitian (anti-Hermitian) iff the matrix (w^{AB}) of its components is of the same type, namely $\bar{w}^{B'A} = \pm w^{AB}$.

Obviously $\mathbf{V}^\star \otimes \bar{\mathbf{V}}^\star$ decomposes in the same way, and one has the natural isomorphisms

$$(\mathbf{V} \bar{\vee} \bar{\mathbf{V}})^* \cong \mathbf{V}^\star \bar{\vee} \bar{\mathbf{V}}^\star , \quad (i\mathbf{V} \bar{\vee} \bar{\mathbf{V}})^* \cong i\mathbf{V}^\star \bar{\vee} \bar{\mathbf{V}}^\star ,$$

where $*$ denotes the *real* dual.

A Hermitian 2-form is defined to be a Hermitian tensor $h \in \bar{\mathbf{V}}^\star \bar{\vee} \mathbf{V}^\star$. The associated quadratic form $v \mapsto h(v, v)$ is real-valued. The notions of signature and non-degeneracy of Hermitian 2-forms are introduced similarly to the case of real bilinear forms. If h is non-degenerate then it yields the isomorphism $h^\flat : \bar{\mathbf{V}} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}^\star : \bar{v} \mapsto h(\bar{v}, \underline{})$; its conjugate map is an anti-isomorphism $\bar{\mathbf{V}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{V}}^\star$ which, via composition with the canonical conjugation, can be seen as the isomorphism $\bar{h}^\flat : \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{V}}^\star : v \mapsto h(\underline{}, v)$. The inverse isomorphisms $h^\#$ and $\bar{h}^\#$ are similarly related to a Hermitian tensor $h^{-1} \in \bar{\mathbf{V}} \bar{\vee} \mathbf{V}$. One has the coordinate expressions

$$\begin{aligned} (h^\flat(\bar{v}))_B &= h_{AB} \bar{v}^{A'} , & (\bar{h}^\flat(v))_A &= h_{AB} v^B = \bar{h}_{BA'} v^{B'} , \\ (h^\#(\bar{\lambda}))^B &= h^{AB} \bar{\lambda}_{A'} , & (\bar{h}^\#(\lambda))^{A'} &= h^{AB} \lambda_B = \bar{h}^{BA'} \lambda_{B'} , \end{aligned}$$

where $h^{CA} h_{CB} = \delta_A^B$, $h^{AC} h_{BC} = \delta_A^{C'}$.

1.3 Two-spinor space

Let \mathbf{S} be a 2-dimensional complex vector space. Then $\wedge^2 \mathbf{S}$ is a 1-dimensional complex vector space; its dual space $(\wedge^2 \mathbf{S})^\star$ will be identified with $\wedge^2 \mathbf{S}^\star$ via the rule¹

$$\omega(s \wedge s') := \frac{1}{2}\omega(s, s') , \quad \forall \omega \in \wedge^2 \mathbf{S}^\star , \quad s, s' \in \mathbf{S} .$$

Any $\omega \in \wedge^2 \mathbf{S}^\star \setminus \{0\}$ (a ‘symplectic’ form on \mathbf{S}) has a unique ‘inverse’ or ‘dual’ element ω^{-1} . Denoting by $\omega^\flat : \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^\star$ the linear map defined by $\langle \omega^\flat(s), t \rangle := \omega(s, t)$ and by $\omega^\# : \mathbf{S}^\star \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ the linear map defined by $\langle \mu, \omega^\#(\lambda) \rangle := \omega^{-1}(\lambda, \mu)$, one has

$$\omega^\# = -(\omega^\flat)^{-1} .$$

The Hermitian subspace of $(\wedge^2 \mathbf{S}) \otimes (\wedge^2 \overline{\mathbf{S}})$ is a 1-dimensional real vector space with a distinguished orientation, whose positively oriented semispace

$$\mathbb{L}^2 := [(\wedge^2 \mathbf{S}) \bar{\vee} (\wedge^2 \overline{\mathbf{S}})]^+ := \{w \otimes \bar{w}, w \in \wedge^2 \mathbf{S}\}$$

has the square root semi-space \mathbb{L} , called the space of *length units*.²

Next, consider the complex 2-dimensional space

$$\mathbf{U} := \mathbb{L}^{-1/2} \otimes \mathbf{S} .$$

This is our *2-spinor space*. Observe that the 1-dimensional space

$$\mathbf{Q} := \wedge^2 \mathbf{U} = \mathbb{L}^{-1} \otimes \wedge^2 \mathbf{S}$$

has a distinguished Hermitian metric, defined as the unity element in

$$\overline{\mathbf{Q}}^\star \bar{\vee} \mathbf{Q}^\star \equiv (\wedge^2 \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star) \bar{\vee} (\wedge^2 \mathbf{U}^\star) = \mathbb{L}^{-2} \otimes (\wedge^2 \mathbf{S}^\star) \bar{\vee} (\wedge^2 \mathbf{S}^\star) \cong \mathbb{R} .$$

Hence there is the distinguished set of normalized symplectic forms on \mathbf{U} , any two of them differing by a phase factor.³

Consider an arbitrary basis (ξ_A) of \mathbf{S} and its dual basis (x^A) of \mathbf{S}^\star . This determines the mutually dual bases

$$w := \varepsilon^{AB} \xi_A \wedge \xi_B , \quad w^{-1} := \varepsilon_{AB} x^A \wedge x^B ,$$

respectively of $\wedge^2 \mathbf{S}$ and $\wedge^2 \mathbf{S}^\star$ (here ε^{AB} and ε_{AB} both denote the antisymmetric Ricci matrix), and the basis

$$l := \sqrt{w \otimes \bar{w}} \quad \text{of } \mathbb{L} .$$

Then one also has the induced mutually dual, *normalized* bases

$$(\zeta_A) := (l^{-1/2} \otimes \xi_A) , \quad (z^A) := (l^{1/2} \otimes x^A)$$

¹Here, $s \wedge s' := \frac{1}{2}(s \otimes s' - s' \otimes s)$. This contraction, defined in such a way to respect usual conventions in two-spinor literature, corresponds to 1/4 standard exterior-algebra contraction.

²A *unit space* is defined to be a 1-dimensional real semi-space, namely a positive semi-field associated with the semi-ring \mathbb{R}^+ (see [1, 2] for details). The *square root* $\mathbb{U}^{1/2}$ of a unit space \mathbb{U} , is defined by the condition that $\mathbb{U}^{1/2} \otimes \mathbb{U}^{1/2}$ be isomorphic to \mathbb{U} . More generally, any *rational power* of a unit space is defined up to isomorphism (negative powers correspond to dual spaces). In this article we only use the unit space \mathbb{L} of lengths and its powers; essentially, this means that we take $\hbar = c = 1$.

³One says that elements of \mathbf{U} and of its tensor algebra are ‘conformally invariant’, while tensorializing by \mathbb{L}^r one obtains ‘conformal densities’ of weight r .

of \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{U}^\star , and also

$$\varepsilon := l \otimes w^{-1} = \varepsilon_{AB} z^A \wedge z^B \in \mathbf{Q}^\star \equiv \wedge^2 \mathbf{U}^\star ,$$

$$\varepsilon^{-1} \equiv l^{-1} \otimes w = \varepsilon^{AB} \zeta_A \wedge \zeta_B \in \mathbf{Q} \equiv \wedge^2 \mathbf{U} .$$

Remark. In contrast to the usual 2-spinor formalism, no symplectic form is fixed. The 2-form ε is unique up to a phase factor which depends on the chosen 2-spinor basis, and determines isomorphisms

$$\varepsilon^\flat : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}^\star : u \mapsto u^\flat , \quad \langle u^\flat, v \rangle := \varepsilon(u, v) \Rightarrow (u^\flat)_B = \varepsilon_{AB} v^A ,$$

$$\varepsilon^\# : \mathbf{U}^\star \rightarrow \mathbf{U} : \lambda \mapsto \lambda^\# , \quad \langle \mu, \lambda^\# \rangle := \varepsilon^{-1}(\lambda, \mu) \Rightarrow (\lambda^\#)^B = \varepsilon^{AB} \lambda_A .$$

If no confusion arises, we'll make the identification $\varepsilon^\# \equiv \varepsilon^{-1}$.

1.4 2-spinors and Minkowski space

Though a normalized element $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{Q}^\star$ is unique only up to a phase factor, certain objects which can be expressed through it are natural geometric objects. The first example is the unity element in $\mathbf{Q}^\star \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}^\star$, which can be written as $\varepsilon \otimes \bar{\varepsilon}$; it can also be seen as a bilinear form g on $\mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}$, given for decomposable elements by

$$g(p \otimes \bar{q}, r \otimes \bar{s}) = \varepsilon(p, r) \bar{\varepsilon}(\bar{q}, \bar{s}) .$$

The fact that any ε is non-degenerate implies that g is non-degenerate too. In a normalized 2-spinor basis (ζ_A) one writes $w = w^{AA'} \zeta_A \otimes \bar{\zeta}_{A'} \in \mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}$, $g_{AA'BB'} = \varepsilon_{AB} \bar{\varepsilon}_{A'B'} = \varepsilon_{AB} \bar{\varepsilon}_{A'B'}$ and⁴

$$g(w, w) = \varepsilon_{AB} \bar{\varepsilon}_{A'B'} w^{AA'} w^{BB'} = 2 \det w .$$

Next, consider the Hermitian subspace

$$\mathbf{H} := \mathbf{U} \bar{\vee} \overline{\mathbf{U}} \subset \mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}} .$$

This is a 4-dimensional *real* vector space; for any given normalized basis (ζ_A) of \mathbf{U} consider, in particular, the *Pauli basis* (τ_λ) of \mathbf{H} associated with (ζ_A) , namely

$$\tau_\lambda \equiv \tau_\lambda^{AA'} \zeta_A \otimes \bar{\zeta}_{A'} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sigma_\lambda^{AA'} \zeta_A \otimes \bar{\zeta}_{A'} , \quad \lambda = 0, 1, 2, 3 ,$$

where $(\sigma_\lambda^{AA'})$ denotes the λ -th Pauli matrix.⁵

The restriction of g to the Hermitian subspace \mathbf{H} turns out to be a Lorentz metric with signature $(+, -, -, -)$. Actually, a Pauli basis is readily seen to be orthonormal, namely $g_{\lambda\mu} := g(\tau_\lambda, \tau_\mu) = \eta_{\lambda\mu} := 2 \delta_\lambda^0 \delta_\mu^0 - \delta_{\lambda\mu}$.

It's not difficult to prove:

Proposition 1.1 *An element $w \in \mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}} = \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbf{H}$ is null, that is $g(w, w) = 0$, iff it is a decomposable tensor: $w = u \otimes \bar{s}$, $u, s \in \mathbf{U}$.*

⁴Note how $\det w \equiv \det(w^{AA'})$ is intrinsically defined through ε , even if w is not an endomorphism.

⁵ $\sigma_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} , \quad \sigma_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad \sigma_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad \sigma_3 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} .$

A null element in $\mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}$ is also in \mathbf{H} iff it is of the form $\pm u \otimes \bar{u}$. Hence the *null cone* $\mathbf{N} \subset \mathbf{H}$ is constituted exactly by such elements. Note how this fact yields a way of distinguish between time orientations: by convention, one chooses the *future* and *past* null-cones in \mathbf{H} to be, respectively,

$$\mathbf{N}^+ := \{u \otimes \bar{u}, u \in \mathbf{U}\}, \quad \mathbf{N}^- := \{-u \otimes \bar{u}, u \in \mathbf{U}\}.$$

Proposition 1.2 *For each g-orthonormal positively oriented basis (\mathbf{e}_λ) of \mathbf{H} , such that \mathbf{e}_0 is timelike and future-oriented, there exists a normalized 2-spinor basis (ζ_A) whose associated Pauli basis (τ_λ) coincides with (\mathbf{e}_λ) .*

Remark. From the above proposition it follows that any future-pointing timelike vector can be written as $u \otimes \bar{u} + v \otimes \bar{v}$, for suitable $u, v \in \mathbf{U}$.

1.5 From 2-spinors to 4-spinors

Next observe that an element of $\mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}$ can be seen as a linear map $\overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$, while an element of $\overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star$ can be seen as a linear map $\mathbf{U} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star$. Then one defines the linear map

$$\gamma : \mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbf{U} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star) : y \mapsto \gamma(y) := \sqrt{2} (y, y^\flat),$$

$$\text{i.e. } \gamma(y)(u, \chi) = \sqrt{2}(y \rfloor \chi, u \rfloor y^\flat),$$

where $y^\flat := g^\flat(y) \in \mathbf{U}^\star \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star$ and $y^\flat \in \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star$ is the transposed tensor. In particular for a decomposable $y = p \otimes \bar{q}$ one has

$$\tilde{\gamma}(p \otimes \bar{q})(u, \chi) = \sqrt{2}(\langle \chi, \bar{q} \rangle p, \langle p^\flat, u \rangle \bar{q}^\flat).$$

Proposition 1.3 *For all $y, y' \in \mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}$ one has*

$$\gamma(y) \circ \gamma(y') + \gamma(y') \circ \gamma(y) = 2g(y, y') \mathbb{1}.$$

PROOF: It is sufficient to check the statement's formula for any couple of null i.e. decomposable elements in $\mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}$. Using the identity

$$\varepsilon(p, q) r^\flat + \varepsilon(q, r) p^\flat + \varepsilon(r, p) q^\flat = 0, \quad p, q, r \in \mathbf{U},$$

which is in turn easily checked, a straightforward calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} [\gamma(p \otimes \bar{q}) \circ \gamma(r \otimes \bar{s}) + \gamma(r \otimes \bar{s}) \circ \gamma(p \otimes \bar{q})](u + \chi) &= \\ &= 2\varepsilon(p, r) \bar{\varepsilon}(\bar{q}, \bar{s})(u, \chi) = 2g(p \otimes \bar{q}, r \otimes \bar{s})(u, \chi). \end{aligned}$$

□

Now one sees that γ is a *Clifford map* relatively to g (see also §4.1); thus one is led to regard

$$\mathbf{W} := \mathbf{U} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star$$

as the space of Dirac spinors, decomposed into its Weyl subspaces. Actually, the restriction of γ to the Minkowski space \mathbf{H} turns out to be a Dirac map.

The 4-dimensional complex vector space \mathbf{W} is naturally endowed with a further structure: the obvious anti-isomorphism

$$\mathbf{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{W}^\star : (u, \chi) \mapsto (\bar{\chi}, \bar{u}) .$$

Namely, if $\psi = (u, \chi) \in \mathbf{W}$ then $\bar{\psi} = (\bar{u}, \bar{\chi}) \in \overline{\mathbf{W}}$ can be identified with $(\bar{\chi}, \bar{u}) \in \mathbf{W}^\star$; this is the so-called ‘Dirac adjoint’ of ψ . This operation can be seen as the “index lowering anti-isomorphism” related to the Hermitian product

$$k : \mathbf{W} \times \mathbf{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : ((u, \chi), (u', \chi')) \mapsto \langle \bar{\chi}, u' \rangle + \langle \chi', \bar{u} \rangle ,$$

which is obviously non-degenerate; its signature turns out to be $(+ + --)$, as it can be seen in a “Dirac basis” (below).

Let (ζ_A) be a normalized basis of \mathbf{U} ; the *Weyl basis* of \mathbf{W} is defined to be the basis (ζ_α) , $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$, given by

$$(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4) := (\zeta_1, \zeta_2, -\bar{z}^1, -\bar{z}^2) .$$

Above, ζ_1 is a simplified notation for $(\zeta_1, 0)$, and the like. Another important basis is the *Dirac basis* (ζ'_α) , $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta'_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\zeta_1, \bar{z}^1) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\zeta_1 - \zeta_3) , & \zeta'_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\zeta_2, \bar{z}^2) \equiv (\zeta_2 - \zeta_4) , \\ \zeta'_3 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\zeta_1, -\bar{z}^1) \equiv (\zeta_1 + \zeta_3) , & \zeta'_4 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\zeta_2, -\bar{z}^2) \equiv (\zeta_2 + \zeta_4) . \end{aligned}$$

Setting

$$\gamma_\lambda := \gamma(\tau_\lambda) \in \text{End}(\mathbf{W})$$

one recovers the usual Weyl and Dirac representations as the matrices (γ_λ) , $\lambda = 0, 1, 2, 3$, in the Weyl and Dirac bases respectively.

1.6 Further structures

Some other operations on 4-spinor space, commonly used in the literature, actually depend on particular choices or conventions. Similarly to the choice of a basis or of a gauge they are useful in certain arguments or calculations, but don’t need to be fixed in the theory’s foundations. I’ll describe the cases of a Hermitian form on \mathbf{U} , of *charge conjugation*, *parity* and *time reversal*; I’ll show the relations among these objects and how they are related to the notion of *observer*.

A Hermitian 2-form h on \mathbf{U} is an element in $\overline{\mathbf{U}^\star} \setminus \mathbf{U}^\star$, hence it can be seen as an element in \mathbf{H}^* ; more precisely, $\bar{h} \in \mathbf{H}^*$. One says that h is *normalized* if it is non-degenerate, positive and $g^\#(h) = h^{-1}$; the latter condition is equivalent to $g(h, h) = 2$. If h is normalized then it is necessarily a future-pointing timelike element in \mathbf{H}^* . For example, consider the Pauli basis (τ_λ) determined by a normalized 2-spinor basis (ζ_A) , and let (t^λ) be the dual basis; then $\sqrt{2}\bar{t}^0 = \bar{z}^1 \otimes z^1 + \bar{z}^2 \otimes z^2$ is normalized; conversely, every positive-definite normalized Hermitian metric h can be expressed in the above form for some suitable normalized 2-spinor bases.⁶

⁶Similarly, negative-definite Hermitian metrics correspond to past-pointing timelike covectors. Hermitian metrics of mixed signature $(1, -1)$ correspond to spacelike covectors; actually, such metrics can always be written as proportional to $\sqrt{2}\bar{t}^3 = \bar{z}^1 \otimes z^1 - \bar{z}^2 \otimes z^2$, in appropriate normalized 2-spinor bases.

The basic observation resulting from the above discussion is that the assignments of an ‘observer’ in \mathbf{H} and of a positive-definite Hermitian metric on \mathbf{U} are equivalent; actually, the two objects are nearly the same thing. In 4-spinor terms, the above equivalence is only slightly less obvious. If h is assigned, then it extends naturally to a Hermitian metric h on \mathbf{W} , which can be characterized by⁷

$$h(\psi, \phi) = k(\gamma_0 \psi, \phi) .$$

Charge conjugation depends on the choice of a normalized 2-form $\omega = e^{it} \varepsilon \in \wedge^2 \mathbf{U}^\star$, and is defined as the anti-isomorphism

$$\mathcal{C}_\omega : \mathbf{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{W} : \psi \mapsto \mathcal{C}_\omega(\psi) \equiv \mathcal{C}(u, \chi) = (\omega^\#(\bar{\chi}), -\bar{\omega}^\flat(\bar{u})) = e^{-it} (\varepsilon^\#(\bar{\chi}), -\bar{\varepsilon}^\flat(\bar{u})) .$$

Thus $\mathcal{C}_\omega = e^{-it} \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon$. One also gets

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_\omega \circ \mathcal{C}_\omega &= \mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{W}} , \\ \gamma_y \circ \mathcal{C}_\omega + \mathcal{C}_\omega \circ \gamma_y &= 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{C}_\omega \circ \gamma_y \circ \mathcal{C}_\omega = -\gamma_y , \quad y \in \mathbf{H} . \end{aligned}$$

Finally, parity is an isomorphism of \mathbf{W} dependent on the choice of an observer, while time-reversal is an anti-isomorphism dependent on the choice of an observer and of a normalized 2-form; they are defined by

$$\mathcal{P} := \gamma_0 \equiv \gamma(\tau_0) , \quad \mathcal{T}_\omega := \gamma_\eta \gamma_0 \mathcal{C}_\omega ,$$

where the chosen observer is expressed as τ_0 in a suitable Pauli basis, and γ_η is the canonical element of the Dirac algebra corresponding to the g -normalized volume form of \mathbf{H} , and expressed in a Pauli basis as $\gamma_\eta = \gamma_0 \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3$ (see §4.1).

Remark. An observer, seen as a Hermitian metric on \mathbf{U} , also determines an isomorphism $\mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}} \rightarrow \mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star \cong \text{End}(\mathbf{U})$. Through it, one can view ‘world spinors’ as endomorphisms, thus recovering the algebraic structure for the Galilean treatment of spin [1].

1.7 2-spinor groups

The group $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{S}) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathbf{U}) \subset \mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star$ has the natural subgroups

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sl}(\mathbf{U}) &:= \{K \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{U}) : \det K = 1\} , & \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Sl}(\mathbf{U}) &= 3 , \\ \text{Sl}^c(\mathbf{U}) &:= \{K \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{U}) : |\det K| = 1\} , & \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \text{Sl}^c(\mathbf{U}) &= 7 . \end{aligned}$$

The former is the group of all automorphisms of \mathbf{S} (of \mathbf{U}) which leave any complex volume form invariant; the latter is the group of all automorphisms which leave any complex volume form invariant up to a phase factor, and thus it can be seen as the group which preserves the two-spinor structure. One has the Lie algebras

$$\mathfrak{L}\text{Sl}(\mathbf{U}) \cong \{A \in \text{End}(\mathbf{U}) : \text{Tr } A = 0\} ,$$

$$\mathfrak{L}\text{Sl}^c(\mathbf{U}) \cong \{A \in \text{End}(\mathbf{U}) : \Re \text{Tr } A = 0\} = i\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{L}\text{Sl}(\mathbf{U}) .$$

⁷In the traditional notation, γ_λ^\dagger indicates the h -adjoint of γ_λ , and then depends on the chosen observer.

If $h \in \mathbf{U}^\star \bar{\vee} \bar{\mathbf{U}}^\star$ is a positive Hermitian metric then one sets

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{U}(\mathbf{U}, h) &:= \{K \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{U}) : K^\dagger = K^{-1}\} \subset \mathrm{Sl}^c(\mathbf{U}), \\ \mathrm{SU}(\mathbf{U}, h) &:= \{K \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{U}) : K^\dagger = K^{-1}, \det K = 1\} \subset \mathrm{Sl}(\mathbf{U}),\end{aligned}$$

where K^\dagger denotes the h -adjoint of K . One gets the Lie algebras

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{LU}(\mathbf{U}, h) &= \{A \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbf{U}) : A + A^\dagger = 0\} = i\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{LSU}(\mathbf{U}, h), \\ \mathfrak{LSU}(\mathbf{U}, h) &= \{A \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbf{U}) : A + A^\dagger = 0, \mathrm{Tr} A = 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

Now observe that $\mathrm{End}(\mathbf{U})$ can be decomposed into the direct sum of the subspaces of all h -Hermitian and anti-Hermitian endomorphisms; the restriction of this decomposition to $\mathfrak{LSl}(\mathbf{U})$ gives then

$$\mathfrak{LSl}(\mathbf{U}) = \mathfrak{LSU}(\mathbf{U}, h) \oplus i\mathfrak{LSU}(\mathbf{U}, h).$$

When a 2-spinor basis is fixed, then one gets group isomorphisms $\mathrm{Sl}(\mathbf{U}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$, $\mathrm{SU}(\mathbf{U}, h) \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(2)$ and the like.

1.8 2-spinor groups and Lorentz group

Up to an obvious transposition we can make the identification

$$\mathrm{End}(\mathbf{U}) \otimes \mathrm{End}(\bar{\mathbf{U}}) \cong \mathrm{End}(\mathbf{U} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{U}}).$$

We then write⁸

$$\begin{aligned}(K \otimes \bar{H})^{AA'}_{BB'} &= K^A_B \bar{H}^{A'}_{B'}, \quad K \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbf{U}), \\ (K \otimes \bar{H})^\lambda_\mu &= K^A_B \bar{H}^{A'}_{B'} \tau^\lambda_{AA'} \tau_\mu^{BB'}.\end{aligned}$$

The group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{U}) \times \mathrm{Aut}(\bar{\mathbf{U}})$ can be identified with the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{U} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{U}})$ constituted of all elements of the type $K \otimes \bar{H}$ with $K, H \in \mathrm{Aut} \mathbf{U}$. This subgroup is sometimes written as $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{U}) \otimes \mathrm{Aut}(\bar{\mathbf{U}})$, which of course must not be intended as a true tensor product. It has the proper subgroup $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{U}) \bar{\vee} \mathrm{Aut}(\bar{\mathbf{U}})$, constituted of all automorphisms of the type $K \otimes \bar{K}$, $K \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{U})$.

Proposition 1.4 $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{U}) \bar{\vee} \mathrm{Aut}(\bar{\mathbf{U}})$ preserves the splitting $\mathbf{U} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{U}} = \mathbf{H} \oplus i\mathbf{H}$ and the causal structure of \mathbf{H} .

PROOF: There exist bases of \mathbf{H} composed of isotropic elements; these are also complex bases of isotropic elements of $\mathbf{U} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{U}}$. Then $A \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{U} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{U}})$ preserves the splitting and the causal structure iff it sends any element of the form $u \otimes \bar{u}$ in an element of the form $v \otimes \bar{v}$. \square

⁸The elements of the dual Pauli basis can be written as $\tau^\lambda = \tau^\lambda_{AA'} z^A \otimes \bar{z}^{A'}$ with $\tau^\lambda_{AA'} = g^{\lambda\mu} \varepsilon^{AB} \bar{\varepsilon}^{A'B'} \tau_\mu^{BB'}$.

Accordingly, on sets

$$\mathrm{Sl}^c(\mathbf{U}) \bar{\vee} \mathrm{Sl}^c(\overline{\mathbf{U}}) = \mathrm{Sl}(\mathbf{U}) \bar{\vee} \mathrm{Sl}(\overline{\mathbf{U}}) := \{K \otimes \bar{K} : K \in \mathrm{Sl}(\mathbf{U})\}.$$

Since K preserves ε up to a phase factor, $K \otimes \bar{K}$ preserves $\varepsilon \otimes \bar{\varepsilon} \equiv g$; moreover it is immediate to check that any Pauli basis is transformed to another Pauli basis. From proposition 1.2 it then follows that $\mathrm{Sl}(\mathbf{U}) \bar{\vee} \mathrm{Sl}(\overline{\mathbf{U}})$ restricted to \mathbf{H} coincides with the special ortochronous Lorentz group $\mathrm{Lor}_+^\dagger(\mathbf{H}, g)$. Actually, the epimorphism $\mathrm{Sl}(\mathbf{U}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Lor}_+^\dagger(\mathbf{H}, g)$ turns out to be 2-to-1.

The Lie algebra of $\mathrm{Sl}(\mathbf{U}) \bar{\vee} \mathrm{Sl}(\overline{\mathbf{U}})$ is the Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}(\mathbf{U}) \otimes \mathrm{End}(\overline{\mathbf{U}})$ constituted by all elements which can be written in the form

$$A \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\overline{\mathbf{U}}} + \mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{U}} \otimes \bar{A}, \quad A \in \mathfrak{L}\mathrm{Sl}(\mathbf{U}).$$

One easily checks that these restrict to endomorphisms of \mathbf{H} , actually they constitute the vector space of all g -antisymmetric endomorphisms of \mathbf{H} namely the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{L}\mathrm{Lor}(\mathbf{H}, g)$. Let a normalized 2-spinor basis be fixed; then the isomorphism $\mathfrak{L}\mathrm{Sl}(\mathbf{U}) \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{L}\mathrm{Lor}(\mathbf{H}, g)$, taking into account the isomorphism $\mathfrak{L}\mathrm{Lor}(\mathbf{H}, g) \leftrightarrow \wedge^2 \mathbf{H}^*$ induced by the Lorentz metric g , associates the basis $(\nu_i; \check{\nu}_i)$ with the basis $(\rho_i; \check{\rho}_i)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, where⁹

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_i &:= -i \check{\nu}_i & \check{\nu}_i &:= \frac{1}{2} \sigma_i^A \zeta_A \otimes z^B, \\ \rho_i &:= -*\check{\rho}_i & \check{\rho}_i &:= 2 t^0 \wedge t^i. \end{aligned}$$

A Hermitian metric h on \mathbf{U} , besides the above said (§1.7) splitting of $\mathfrak{L}\mathrm{Sl}(\mathbf{U})$, also determines an “observer” $\tau_0 := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{h}^\#$, hence also the splitting of $\mathfrak{L}\mathrm{Lor}(\mathbf{H}, g)$ into “infinitesimal rotations” and “infinitesimal boosts” as

$$\mathfrak{L}\mathrm{Lor}(\mathbf{H}, g) = \mathfrak{L}\mathrm{Lor}_R(\mathbf{H}, g, \tau_0) \oplus \mathfrak{L}\mathrm{Lor}_B(\mathbf{H}, g, \tau_0).$$

If one chooses a normalized 2-spinor basis such that the element τ_0 of the corresponding Pauli basis of \mathbf{H} coincides with the given observer, then the bases $(\nu_i; \check{\nu}_i)$ and $(\rho_i; \check{\rho}_i)$ turn out to be adapted to the respective splittings.

Remark. On $\mathfrak{L}\mathrm{Lor}(\mathbf{H}, g)$ one has the pseudo-metric induced by g ; moreover, consider the real symmetric 2-form

$$K_{\mathfrak{L}\mathrm{Sl}} : \mathfrak{L}\mathrm{Sl}(\mathbf{U}) \times \mathfrak{L}\mathrm{Sl}(\mathbf{U}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : (A, B) \mapsto 2 \Re \mathrm{Tr}(A \circ B).$$

Then it turns out that the bases $(\nu_i; \check{\nu}_i)$ and $(\rho_i; \check{\rho}_i)$ are orthonormal, and that the signature of both metrics is $(-, -, -, +, +, +)$. So, the splittings of the two algebras determined by the choice of an “observer” can’t be into arbitrary subspaces: the two components must be mutually orthogonal subspaces of opposite signature.

2 Two-spinor bundles

2.1 Two-spinor connections

Consider any real manifold \mathbf{M} and a vector bundle $\mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ with complex 2-dimensional fibres. Denote base manifold coordinates as (x^a) ; choose a local frame

⁹Here again (σ_i^A) denotes the i -th Pauli matrix. (t^λ) is the dual Pauli basis. Also note that the Hodge isomorphism restricts to a complex structure on $\wedge^2 \mathbf{H}^*$.

(ξ_A) of \mathbf{S} , determining linear fibre coordinates (x^A) . According to the constructions of the previous sections, one now has the bundles $\mathbf{Q}, \mathbb{L}, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{H}$ over \mathbf{M} , with smooth natural structures; the frame (ξ_A) yields the frames $\varepsilon, l, (\zeta_A)$ and (τ_λ) , respectively. Moreover for any rational number $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ one has the semi-vector bundle \mathbb{L}^r .

Consider an arbitrary \mathbb{C} -linear connection \mathbf{F} on $\mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$, called a *2-spinor connection*. In the fibred coordinates (x^a, x^A) \mathbf{F} is expressed by the coefficients $F_{aB}^A : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, namely the covariant derivative of a section $s : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ is expressed as

$$\nabla s = (\partial_a s^A - F_{aB}^A s^B) dx^a \otimes \xi_A .$$

The rule $\nabla \bar{s} = \overline{\nabla s}$ yields a connection $\bar{\mathbf{F}}$ on $\overline{\mathbf{S}} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$, whose coefficients are given by

$$\bar{F}_{aB}^A = \overline{F_{aB}^A} .$$

Actually, \mathbf{F} determines linear connections on each of the above said induced vector bundles over \mathbf{M} (in particular, it is easy to see that any \mathbb{C} -linear connection on a complex vector bundle determines a \mathbb{R} -linear connection on the induced Hermitian tensor bundle). Denote by $2G$ and $2Y$ the connections induced on \mathbb{L} and \mathbf{Q} (this notation makes sense because the fibres are 1-dimensional), namely

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla l &= -2G_a dx^a \otimes l , \quad \nabla \varepsilon = 2iY_a dx^a \otimes \varepsilon , \\ \nabla w^{-1} &\equiv \nabla(l^{-1} \otimes \varepsilon) = 2(G_a + iY_a) dx^a \otimes l^{-1} \otimes \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

and the like. By direct calculation we find

$$G_a = \Re(\frac{1}{2}F_{aA}^A) = \frac{1}{4}(F_{aA}^A + \bar{F}_{aA}^A) ,$$

$$Y_a = \Im(\frac{1}{2}F_{aA}^A) = \frac{1}{4i}(F_{aA}^A - \bar{F}_{aA}^A) .$$

Note that since Y_a are real the induced linear connection on \mathbf{Q} is Hermitian (preserves its natural Hermitian structure).

The coefficients of the connection $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}$ induced on \mathbf{U} are given by

$$\tilde{F}_{aB}^A = F_{aB}^A - G_a \delta_B^A .$$

Let $\tilde{\Gamma}$ be the connection induced on $\mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}$, and Γ' the connection induced on $\mathbf{S} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{S}}$. Then

$$\Gamma'_{aB}^{AA} = F_{aB}^A \delta_B^A + \delta_B^A \bar{F}_{aB}^A ,$$

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{aB}^{AA} = F_{aB}^A \delta_B^A + \delta_B^A \bar{F}_{aB}^A - 2G_a \delta_B^A .$$

Since the above coefficients are real, Γ' and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ turn out to be reducible to real connections on $\mathbf{S} \vee \overline{\mathbf{S}}$ and $\mathbf{H} \equiv \mathbf{U} \vee \overline{\mathbf{U}}$, respectively. Moreover

Proposition 2.1 *The connection $\tilde{\Gamma}$ induced on \mathbf{H} by any 2-spinor connection is metric, namely $\nabla[\tilde{\Gamma}]g = 0$.*

PROOF: The Lorentz metric g of \mathbf{H} can be identified with the identity of the bundle \mathbb{L}^{-2} , namely it is the canonical section $1 \equiv \varepsilon^{-1} \otimes \varepsilon : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{-2} \otimes \mathbb{L}^2 \equiv \mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{R}^+$, which obviously has vanishing covariant derivative. \square

Because of metricity the coefficients $\tilde{\Gamma}_a^\lambda$ of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ in the frame (τ_λ) are antisymmetric and traceless, namely

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_a^{\lambda\mu} + \tilde{\Gamma}_a^{\mu\lambda} = 0, \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_a^\lambda = 0$$

(the second formula says $\nabla\eta = 0$, where η is the g -normalized volume form of \mathbf{H}).

The above relations between \mathbf{F} and the induced connections can be inverted as follows:

Proposition 2.2 *One has*

$$\mathbf{F}_a^A = (-G_a + iY_a) \delta_A^B + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma'_a{}^{AA'}_{BA'} = (G_a + iY_a) \delta_A^B + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\Gamma}_a{}^{AA'}_{BA'}.$$

In 4-spinor formalism the above relation reads

$$\mathbf{F}_{a\beta}^\alpha = (G_a + iY_a) \delta_\beta^\alpha + \frac{1}{4} \tilde{\Gamma}_a^{\lambda\mu} (\gamma_\lambda \gamma_\mu)_\beta^\alpha,$$

where now $\mathbf{F}_{a\beta}^\alpha$ stands for the coefficients of the naturally induced connection $(\mathbf{F}, \bar{\mathbf{F}}^\star)$ on $\mathbf{W} \equiv \mathbf{U} \oplus_M \bar{\mathbf{U}}^\star$ in any 4-spinor frame, $\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, 4$.

A similar relation holds among the curvature tensors, namely

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ab}^A &= 2(dG - i dY)_{ab} \delta_A^B + \frac{1}{2} R'_{ab}{}^{AA'}_{BA'} = \\ &= -2(dG + i dY)_{ab} \delta_A^B + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{R}_{ab}{}^{AA'}_{BA'}, \end{aligned}$$

where R , R' and \tilde{R} are the curvature tensors of \mathbf{F} , Γ' and $\tilde{\Gamma}$, respectively.

Remark. Under a local gauge transformation $\mathsf{K} : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ the above coefficients transform as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_a^A &\mapsto (\mathsf{K}^{-1})_C^A \mathsf{K}_B^D \mathbf{F}_a^C - (\mathsf{K}^{-1})_C^A \partial_a \mathsf{K}_B^C, \\ G_a &\mapsto G_a - \frac{1}{2} \partial_a \log |\det \mathsf{K}|, \quad Y_a \mapsto Y_a - \frac{1}{2} \partial_a \arg \det \mathsf{K}, \\ \tilde{\Gamma}_a^\lambda &\mapsto (\tilde{\mathsf{K}}^{-1})_\nu^\lambda \tilde{\mathsf{K}}_\mu^\rho \tilde{\Gamma}_a^\nu - (\tilde{\mathsf{K}}^{-1})_\nu^\lambda \partial_a \tilde{\mathsf{K}}_\mu^\nu. \end{aligned}$$

2.2 Two-spinor tetrad

Henceforth I'll assume that \mathbf{M} is a real 4-dimensional manifold. Consider a linear morphism

$$\Theta : \mathrm{T}\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{S} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{S}} = \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbf{H},$$

namely a section

$$\Theta : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbf{H} \otimes \mathrm{T}^*\mathbf{M}$$

(all tensor products are over \mathbf{M}). Its coordinate expression is

$$\Theta = \Theta_a^\lambda \tau_\lambda \otimes dx^a = \Theta_a^{AA'} \zeta_A \otimes \bar{\zeta}_A \otimes dx^a, \quad \Theta_a^\lambda, \Theta_a^{AA'} : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{L}.$$

We'll assume that Θ is non-degenerate and valued in the Hermitian subspace $\mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbf{H} \subset \mathbf{S} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{S}}$; then Θ can be viewed as a ‘scaled’ tetrad (or *soldering form*, or *vierbein*); the coefficients Θ_a^λ are real (i.e. valued in $\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{L}$) while the coefficients $\Theta_a^{AA'}$ are Hermitian, i.e. $\bar{\Theta}_a^{AA'} = \Theta_a^{AA'}$.

Remark. Most of what follows actually still holds in the case of a degenerate tetrad. The inverse Θ^{-1} is not used. This will give rise to a more natural theory, in which all field equations are of the first order. Possible degeneracy might also have a physical meaning, as discussed in [4].

Through a tetrad, the geometric structure of the fibres of \mathbf{H} is carried to a similar, scaled structure on the fibres of $T\mathbf{M}$. It will then be convenient, from now on, to distinguish by a tilda the objects defined on \mathbf{H} , so I'll denote by \tilde{g} , $\tilde{\eta}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ the Lorentz metric, the \tilde{g} -normalized volume form and the Dirac map of \mathbf{H} , and set

$$\begin{aligned} g &:= \Theta^* \tilde{g} : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{L}^2 \otimes T^*\mathbf{M} \otimes T^*\mathbf{M} , \\ \eta &:= \Theta^* \tilde{\eta} : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{L}^4 \otimes \wedge^4 T^*\mathbf{M} , \\ \gamma &:= \tilde{\gamma} \circ \Theta : T\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{L} \otimes \text{End}(\mathbf{W}) , \end{aligned}$$

which have the coordinate expressions

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \eta_{\lambda\mu} \Theta_a^\lambda \Theta_b^\mu dx^a \otimes dx^b = \varepsilon_{AB} \varepsilon_{A'B'} \Theta_a^{AA'} \Theta_b^{BB'} dx^a \otimes dx^b , \\ \eta &= \det(\Theta) dx^0 \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 , \\ \gamma &= \sqrt{2} \Theta_a^{AA'} (\zeta_A \otimes \bar{\zeta}_{A'} + \varepsilon_{AB} \varepsilon_{A'B'} \bar{z}^{B'} \otimes z^B) \otimes dx^a . \end{aligned}$$

The above objects turn out to be a Lorentz metric, the corresponding volume form and a Clifford map. Moreover

$$\Theta_\mu^b := \Theta_a^\lambda \eta_{\lambda\mu} g^{ab} = (\Theta^{-1})_\mu^b : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{L}^{-1} , \quad g^{ab} : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{L}^{-2} .$$

A non-degenerate tetrad, together with a two-spinor frame, yields mutually dual orthonormal frames (Θ_λ) of $\mathbb{L}^{-1} \otimes T\mathbf{M}$ and $(\overset{*}{\Theta}{}^\lambda)$ of $\mathbb{L} \otimes T^*\mathbf{M}$, given by

$$\Theta_\lambda := \Theta^{-1}(\tau_\lambda) = \Theta_\lambda^a \partial x_a , \quad \overset{*}{\Theta}{}^\lambda := \Theta^*(t^\lambda) = \Theta_a^\lambda dx^a .$$

We also write

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= \gamma_\lambda \otimes \overset{*}{\Theta}{}^\lambda = \gamma_a \otimes dx^a , \quad \gamma_\lambda := \gamma(\Theta_\lambda) : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbf{W}) , \\ \gamma_a &:= \gamma(\partial x_a) = \Theta_a^\lambda \gamma_\lambda : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{L} \otimes \text{End}(\mathbf{W}) . \end{aligned}$$

2.3 Cotetrad

One defines a natural ‘exterior’ product of elements in the fibres of $\mathbf{H} \otimes_M T^*\mathbf{M}$ by requiring that, for decomposable tensors, it is given by

$$(y_1 \otimes \alpha_1) \wedge (y_2 \otimes \alpha_2) = (y_1 \wedge y_2) \otimes (\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2) , \quad \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in T^*\mathbf{M} , \quad u_1, u_2 \in \mathbf{H} .$$

We'll consider the exterior products

$$\wedge^q \Theta : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{L}^q \otimes \wedge^q \mathbf{H} \otimes \wedge^q T^*\mathbf{M} , \quad q = 1, 2, 3, 4 .$$

In particular, one has $\wedge^2 \Theta \equiv \Theta \wedge \Theta$, that is

$$\wedge^2 \Theta(u \wedge v) = \Theta(u) \wedge \Theta(v) \Rightarrow \wedge^2 \Theta = \Theta_a^\lambda \Theta_b^\mu (\tau_\lambda \wedge \tau_\mu) \otimes (dx^a \wedge dx^b) .$$

Next, consider the linear map over \mathbf{M}

$$\check{\Theta} : (\mathbf{S} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{S}}) \otimes T^*\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{L}^4 \otimes \wedge^4 T^*\mathbf{M}$$

defined by

$$\check{\Theta}(\xi) := \frac{1}{3!} \tilde{\eta} | (\xi \wedge \Theta \wedge \Theta \wedge \Theta) = \frac{1}{3!} \tilde{\eta} | [\xi \wedge (\wedge^3 \Theta)] .$$

Its coordinate expression is

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\Theta}(\xi) &= \check{\Theta}_\lambda^a \xi_a^\lambda d^4x := \frac{1}{3!} \varepsilon^{abcd} \varepsilon_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \Theta_b^\mu \Theta_c^\nu \Theta_d^\rho \xi_a^\lambda d^4x , \\ \xi &= \xi_a^\lambda \tau_\lambda \otimes dx^a , \quad \xi_a^\lambda : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{L} . \end{aligned}$$

Now $\check{\Theta}$ can be seen as a bilinear map $(\mathbf{S} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{S}}) \times T^*\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{L}^4 \otimes \wedge^4 T^*\mathbf{M}$ over \mathbf{M} , or also as a linear map

$$\mathbf{S} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{S}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{L}^4 \otimes T\mathbf{M} \otimes \wedge^4 T^*\mathbf{M}$$

over \mathbf{M} . Using the latter point of view, if Θ is non-degenerate then one has

$$\check{\Theta} = \Theta^{-1} \otimes \eta .$$

Namely, in general one may regard $\check{\Theta}$, which is called the *co-tetrad*, as a kind of ‘pseudo-inverse’ of Θ , defined even if Θ is degenerate.

The above construction can be easily generalized, for $p = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$, to a map

$$\check{\Theta}^{(p)} : \wedge^p (\mathbf{S} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{S}}) \otimes (\wedge^p T^*\mathbf{M}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{L}^4 \otimes \wedge^4 T^*\mathbf{M} .$$

We'll be concerned with $\check{\Theta}^{(1)} = \check{\Theta}$ and $\check{\Theta}^{(2)}$. Note that $\check{\Theta}^{(0)} = \eta$.

2.4 Tetrad and connections

If \mathbf{F} is a complex-linear connection on \mathbf{S} , and G and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ are the induced connections on \mathbb{L} and \mathbf{H} , then a non-degenerate tetrad $\Theta : T\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbf{H}$ yields a unique connection Γ on $T\mathbf{M}$, characterized by the condition

$$\nabla[\Gamma \otimes \tilde{\Gamma}] \Theta = 0 .$$

Moreover Γ is metric, i.e. $\nabla[\Gamma]g = 0$. Denoting by $\Gamma_{a\mu}^\lambda$ the coefficients of Γ in the frame $\Theta'_\lambda \equiv \Theta^{-1}(l \otimes \tau_\lambda)$ one obtains

$$\Gamma_{a\mu}^\lambda = \tilde{\Gamma}_{a\mu}^\lambda + 2G_a \delta_\mu^\lambda .$$

The curvature tensors of Γ and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ are related by $R_{ab\mu}^\lambda = \tilde{R}_{ab\mu}^\lambda$, or

$$R_{abd}^c = \tilde{R}_{abd}^\lambda \Theta_\lambda^c \Theta_d^\mu .$$

Hence the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature are given by

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ad} &= R_{abd}^b = \tilde{R}_{abd}^\lambda \Theta_\lambda^b \Theta_d^\mu , \\ R_a &= \tilde{R}_{ab}^{\lambda\mu} \Theta_\lambda^b \Theta_\mu^a . \end{aligned}$$

In general, the connection Γ will have non-vanishing torsion,¹⁰ which can be expressed¹¹ as

$$\Theta_c^\lambda T_{ab}^c = \partial_{[a} \Theta_{b]}^\lambda + \Theta_{[a}^\mu \tilde{\Gamma}_{b]\mu}^\lambda + 2 \Theta_{[a}^\lambda G_{b]} .$$

Remark. The torsion can be seen as the Frölicher-Nijenhuis bracket

$$\tilde{T} := T \rfloor \Theta = [\Gamma', \Theta] : M \rightarrow \wedge^2 T^*M \otimes H' ,$$

where $H' = \mathbb{L} \otimes H$, $\Gamma' : H' \rightarrow T^*M \otimes_{H'} TH'$ is the induced connection on $H' \rightarrow M$, and Θ is seen as a vertical-valued form $\Theta : H' \rightarrow T^*M \otimes_{H'} VH'$.

2.5 The Dirac operator

Given a tetrad and a two-spinor connection, one introduces the Dirac operator acting on sections $\psi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{-3/2} \otimes W$.

Writing $\tilde{\gamma}^\# : M \rightarrow H \otimes \text{End}(W)$, $\nabla\psi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{-3/2} \otimes T^*M \otimes_M W$, one has

$$\tilde{\gamma}^\# \nabla\psi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{-3/2} \otimes H \otimes T^*M \otimes W ,$$

where contraction in W is understood. Next, one contracts the factors H and T^*M above via

$$\check{\Theta} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{L}^3 \otimes H^* \otimes TM \otimes \wedge^4 T^*M ,$$

obtaining

$$\check{\nabla}\psi := \langle \check{\Theta}, \tilde{\gamma}^\# \nabla\psi \rangle : M \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{3/2} \otimes W \otimes \wedge^4 T^*M ,$$

which has the coordinate expression

$$\check{\nabla}\psi = \check{\Theta}_\lambda^a \left(\sigma^{\lambda A A'} \nabla_a \chi_{A'} \zeta_A , \sigma^\lambda_{A A'} \nabla_a u^A \bar{z}^{A'} \right) \otimes d^4x .$$

This definition works even if Θ were degenerate; in the non-degenerate case one simply has $\check{\nabla}\psi = \check{\nabla}\psi \otimes \eta$.

3 Two-spinors and field theories

3.1 The fields

In this section I'll present a “minimal geometric data” field theory: actually, the unique “geometric datum” is a vector bundle $S \rightarrow M$ with complex 2-dimensional fibres and real 4-dimensional base manifold. All other bundles and fixed geometric objects are determined just by this datum through functorial constructions, as we saw in the previous sections; no further background structure is assumed. Any considered bundle section which is not functorially fixed by our geometric datum is a field. In this way one obtains a field theory which turns out to be essentially equivalent to a classical theory of Einstein-Cartan-Maxwell-Dirac fields.

The fields are taken to be the tetrad Θ , the 2-spinor connection F , the electromagnetic field F and the electron field ψ . The gravitational field is represented by

¹⁰This is the tensor field $T : M \rightarrow TM \otimes \wedge^2 T^*M$ defined by $T(u, v) = \nabla_u v - \nabla_v u - [u, v]$, where $u, v : M \rightarrow TM$ are any two vector fields, and has the coordinate expression $T_{ab}^c = -\tilde{\Gamma}_{ab}^c + \Gamma_{ba}^c$.

¹¹Taking into account $0 = \nabla_a \Theta_b^\lambda = \partial_a \Theta_b^\lambda - \Gamma_{a\mu}^\lambda \Theta_b^\mu + \Gamma_{ab}^c \Theta_c^\lambda$.

Θ (which can be viewed as a ‘square root’ of the metric) and the traceless part of \mathbb{F} , namely $\tilde{\Gamma}$, seen as the gravitational part of the connection. If Θ is non-degenerate one obtains, as in the standard metric-affine approach [10, 11, 13, 8], essentially the Einstein equation and the equation for torsion; the metricity of the spacetime connection is a further consequence. But note that the theory is non-singular also in the degenerate case. The connection G induced on \mathbb{L} will be assumed to have vanishing curvature, $dG = 0$, so that one can always find local charts such that $G_a = 0$; this amounts to gauging away the conformal (‘dilaton’) symmetry. Coupling constants will arise as covariantly constant sections of \mathbb{L} , which now becomes just a vector space.

The Dirac field is a section

$$\psi : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{-3/2} \otimes \mathbf{W} := \mathbb{L}^{-3/2} \otimes (\mathbf{U} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star) ,$$

assumed to represent a semiclassical particle with one-half spin, mass $m \in \mathbb{L}^{-1}$ and charge $q \in \mathbb{R}$.

The electromagnetic potential can be thought of as the Hermitian connection Y on $\wedge^2 \mathbf{U}$ determined by \mathbb{F} , whose coefficients are indicated as $i Y_a$; locally one writes

$$Y_a \equiv q A_a ,$$

where $A : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow T^*\mathbf{M}$ is a local 1-form.

The electromagnetic field is represented by a spinor field

$$\tilde{F} : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{-2} \otimes \wedge^2 \mathbf{H}^*$$

which, via Θ , determines the 2-form $F := \Theta^* \tilde{F} : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \wedge^2 T^*\mathbf{M}$. The relation between Y and F will follow as one of the field equations; note how this setting allows a first-order linear Lagrangian and non-singularity in the degenerate case also for the electromagnetic sector.

The total Lagrangian and the Euler-Lagrange operator will be the sum of a gravitational, an electromagnetic and a Dirac term

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_g + \mathcal{L}_{\text{em}} + \mathcal{L}_D , \quad \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_g + \mathcal{E}_{\text{em}} + \mathcal{E}_D .$$

Observe that all Lagrangian 4-forms are defined in terms of the cotetrad $\check{\Theta}$, while a direct translation of the standard formulation in terms of our fields would force one to use Θ^{-1} , resulting in a less simple and natural theory.

3.2 Gravitational Lagrangian

The tetrad Θ and the curvature tensor \tilde{R} of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ can be assembled into a 4-form \mathcal{L}_g which, in the non-degenerate case, turns out to be the usual gravitational Lagrangian density:

$$\mathcal{L}_g := \frac{1}{4k} \check{\Theta}^{(2)}(\tilde{R}^\#) = \frac{1}{8k} \tilde{\eta} | (\tilde{R}^\# \wedge \Theta \wedge \Theta) : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \wedge^4 T^*\mathbf{M} ,$$

where $\tilde{R}^\# : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \wedge^2 T^*\mathbf{M} \otimes \wedge^2 \mathbf{H}$ is the curvature tensor of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ with one index raised via \tilde{g} , and $k \in \mathbb{L}^2$ is Newton’s gravitational constant. Note how this is necessary in

order to obtain a true (non-scaled) 4-form on \mathbf{M} and the correct coupling with the spinor field. One has the coordinate expression $\mathcal{L}_g = \ell_g d^4x$ with

$$\ell_g = \frac{1}{8\mathbb{k}} \varepsilon_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \varepsilon^{abcd} \tilde{R}_{ab}{}^{\lambda\mu} \Theta_c^\nu \Theta_d^\rho = \frac{1}{2\mathbb{k}} R \det \Theta ,$$

where R is the scalar curvature and the last equality holds if Θ is non-degenerate.

A calculation gives the Θ - and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -components of the gravitational part \mathcal{E}_g of the Euler-Lagrange operator:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{E}_g)_\nu^c &= \frac{1}{4\mathbb{k}} \varepsilon_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \varepsilon^{abcd} R_{ab}{}^{\lambda\mu} \theta_d^\rho , \\ (\mathcal{E}_g)_\lambda^\mu &= \frac{1}{2\mathbb{k}} \varepsilon_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \varepsilon^{abcd} (\partial_b \Theta_c^\nu + \Theta_b^\sigma \tilde{\Gamma}_{c\sigma}^\nu) \Theta_d^\rho . \end{aligned}$$

In the non-degenerate case these are essentially the Einstein tensor and the torsion of the spacetime connection, respectively. The first, in particular, can be written

$$(\mathcal{E}_g)_\nu^c = \frac{1}{4\mathbb{k}} \Theta_{[\lambda}^a \Theta_\mu^b \Theta_{\nu]}^c \det \Theta = \frac{1}{\mathbb{k}} (R_{ab}{}^{bc} - \frac{1}{2} R_{db}{}^{bd} \delta_a^c) \Theta_\nu^a \det \Theta .$$

The $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -component of \mathcal{E}_g can be expressed in terms of the torsion as

$$(\mathcal{E}_g)_\lambda^\mu = \frac{1}{4\mathbb{k}} \varepsilon_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \varepsilon^{abcd} T_{bc}^e \Theta_e^\nu \Theta_d^\rho .$$

3.3 Electromagnetic Lagrangian

The electromagnetic potential and the Maxwell field will be considered independent fields. The former is represented by a local section $A : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow T^*\mathbf{M}$, related to the connection Y induced by F on $\wedge^2 \mathbf{U}$ by the relation $Y = qA$. The Maxwell field is a section $\tilde{F} : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{-2} \otimes \wedge^2 \mathbf{H}^*$, written in coordinates as $\tilde{F} = \tilde{F}_{\lambda\mu} t^\lambda \otimes t^\mu$. The e.m. Lagrangian density is defined to be

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{em}} = \ell_{\text{em}} d^4x = \left[-\frac{1}{2} \Theta^{(2)}(dA \otimes \tilde{F}) + \frac{1}{4} (\tilde{F} \cdot \tilde{F}) \right] \eta ,$$

with coordinate expression

$$\ell_{\text{em}} = -\frac{1}{4} \varepsilon^{abcd} \varepsilon_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \partial_a A_b \tilde{F}^{\lambda\mu} \Theta_c^\nu \Theta_d^\rho + \frac{1}{4} \tilde{F}^{\alpha\beta} \tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta} \det \Theta .$$

In the non-degenerate case, this turns out to be essentially the Lagrangian used in the ADM formalism.

Since \tilde{F} does not appear in the other terms of the total Lagrangian, the \tilde{F} -component of the field equations is immediately seen to yield

$$-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{abcd} \varepsilon_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \partial_a A_b \Theta_c^\nu \Theta_d^\rho + \tilde{F}_{\lambda\mu} \det \Theta = 0 ,$$

which in the non-degenerate case gives

$$F := \Theta^* \tilde{F} = 2 dA \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\text{em}} = -\frac{1}{4} F^2 \eta .$$

The A -component of the Euler-Lagrange operator is

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{E}_{\text{em}})^a &= \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{abcd} \varepsilon_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} (\partial_b \tilde{F}^{\lambda\mu} \Theta_c^\nu \Theta_d^\rho + 2 \tilde{F}^{\lambda\mu} \partial_b \Theta_c^\nu \Theta_d^\rho) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{abcd} (d*F)_{bcd} . \end{aligned}$$

The Θ -component is

$$(\mathcal{E}_{\text{em}})_{\nu}^c = -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{abcd} \varepsilon_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \partial_a A_b \tilde{F}^{\lambda\mu} \Theta_d^{\rho} + \frac{1}{4} \tilde{F}^2 \check{\Theta}_{\nu}^c ,$$

which in the non-degenerate case becomes essentially the usual Maxwell stress-energy tensor

$$(\mathcal{E}_{\text{em}})_{\nu}^c = (F_{ab} F^{ac} - \frac{1}{4} F^2 \delta_b^c) \check{\Theta}_{\nu}^b .$$

3.4 Dirac Lagrangian

The Dirac spinor field and its ‘Dirac adjoint’ are sections

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &= (u, \chi) : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{-3/2} \otimes \mathbf{W} = \mathbb{L}^{-3/2} \otimes (\mathbf{U} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{U}}^{\star}) , \\ \bar{\psi} &= (\bar{\chi}, \bar{u}) : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{-3/2} \otimes (\mathbf{U}^{\star} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{U}}) = \mathbb{L}^{-3/2} \otimes \mathbf{W}^{\star} . \end{aligned}$$

In coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} u &= u^A \zeta_A , \quad \chi = \chi_A \bar{z}^A , \quad u^A, \chi_A : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{L}^{-3/2} \\ \langle \bar{\psi}, \psi \rangle &= (\bar{u}^A \chi_A + \bar{\chi}_A u^A) : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{L}^{-3} . \end{aligned}$$

The Dirac operator (§2.5) yields a section

$$\check{\nabla} \psi : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{3/2} \otimes \mathbf{W} \otimes \wedge^4 T^* \mathbf{M} ,$$

so that

$$\langle \bar{\psi}, \check{\nabla} \psi \rangle : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \wedge^4 T^* \mathbf{M} .$$

Now we introduce the scalar density

$$\mathcal{L}_D = \frac{i}{2} (\langle \bar{\psi}, \check{\nabla} \psi \rangle - \langle \check{\nabla} \bar{\psi}, \psi \rangle) - m \langle \bar{\psi}, \psi \rangle \eta : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \wedge^4 T^* \mathbf{M} ,$$

where $\check{\nabla} \bar{\psi} := \overline{\check{\nabla} \psi}$, and $m \in \mathbb{L}^{-1}$ is the described particle’s mass. This is a version of the Dirac Lagrangian which remains non-singular when Θ is degenerate. In the non-degenerate case one also has

$$\mathcal{L}_D = [\frac{i}{2} (\langle \bar{\psi}, \check{\nabla} \psi \rangle - \langle \check{\nabla} \bar{\psi}, \psi \rangle) - m \langle \bar{\psi}, \psi \rangle] \eta ;$$

in 2-spinor terms this reads

$$\mathcal{L}_D = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \check{\Theta}^a_{AA'} \left(\nabla_a u^A \bar{u}^{A'} - u^A \nabla_a \bar{u}^{A'} + \varepsilon^{AB} \bar{\varepsilon}^{A'B'} (\bar{\chi}_B \nabla_a \chi_{B'} - \nabla_a \bar{\chi}_{B'} \chi_{B'}) \right) - m (\langle \chi, \bar{u} \rangle + \langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle) \eta ,$$

with the coordinate expression

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_D &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \check{\Theta}^a_{AA'} \left(\nabla_a u^A \bar{u}^{A'} - u^A \nabla_a \bar{u}^{A'} + \varepsilon^{AB} \bar{\varepsilon}^{A'B'} (\bar{\chi}_B \nabla_a \chi_{B'} - \nabla_a \bar{\chi}_{B'} \chi_{B'}) \right) \\ &\quad - m (\bar{\chi}_A u^A + \chi_A \bar{u}^{A'}) \det \Theta . \end{aligned}$$

Next we compute the Euler-Lagrange operator \mathcal{E}_D . The \bar{u} -component is

$$(\mathcal{E}_D)_A = \sqrt{2} i \check{\Theta}^a_{AA'} \nabla_a u^A - m \chi_A \det \Theta + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} T_{AA'} u^A ,$$

where $T_{AA} := \check{\Theta}_{AA}^a T_{ab}^b$ is used for replacing the term with $\partial_a \Theta_b^\mu$ (see §2.4).

The $\bar{\chi}$ -component is

$$(\mathcal{E}_D)^A = \sqrt{2} i \check{\Theta}^{AA'} \nabla_a \chi_{A'} - m u^A \det \Theta + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} T^{AA'} \chi_{A'} ,$$

with $\check{\Theta}^{AA'} := \check{\Theta}_{BB'}^a \varepsilon^{BA} \bar{\varepsilon}^{B'A'}$ and $T^{AA'} := \varepsilon^{BA} \bar{\varepsilon}^{B'A'} T_{BB'}$.

The $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -component is

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{E}_D)_\lambda^\mu &= \frac{i}{4\sqrt{2}} [(\check{\Theta}_{AC'}^a \tau_{[\lambda}^{DC'} \tau_{\mu]D}^A - \check{\Theta}_{CA'}^a \tau_{[\lambda}^{CD'} \tau_{\mu]AD}^A) u^A \bar{u}^A \\ &\quad + (\check{\Theta}^{ABC'} \tau_{[\lambda}^{DB'} \tau_{\mu]DC'}^A - \check{\Theta}^{ACB'} \tau_{[\lambda}^{BD'} \tau_{\mu]CD}^A) \bar{\chi}_B \chi_{B'}] . \end{aligned}$$

The Θ -component is

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{E}_D)_\nu^c &= \varepsilon^{abcd} \varepsilon_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \Theta_b^\mu \Theta_d^\rho \Big[\\ &\quad \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} \left(\nabla_a u^A \bar{u}^A - u^A \nabla_a \bar{u}^A + \varepsilon^{BA} \bar{\varepsilon}^{B'A'} (\bar{\chi}_B \nabla_a \chi_{B'} - \nabla_a \bar{\chi}_B \chi_{B'}) \right) \tau_{AA'}^\lambda \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{3!} m (\bar{\chi}_A u^A + \chi_A \bar{u}^A) \Theta_a^\lambda \Big] = \\ &= \frac{i}{4} \varepsilon^{abcd} \varepsilon_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \Theta_b^\mu \Theta_d^\rho \left(\bar{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^\lambda \nabla_a \psi - \bar{\gamma}^\lambda \nabla_a \bar{\psi} \psi \right) - m \bar{\psi} \psi \check{\Theta}_\nu^c . \end{aligned}$$

The A -component is simply

$$(\mathcal{E}_D)^a = \sqrt{2} q \check{\Theta}_{AA'}^a \left(u^A \bar{u}^A + \varepsilon^{BA} \bar{\varepsilon}^{B'A'} \bar{\chi}_B \chi_{B'} \right) = q \check{\Theta}_\lambda^a (\bar{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^\lambda \psi) .$$

3.5 Field equations

Having calculated the various pieces of $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_g + \mathcal{E}_{em} + \mathcal{E}_D$, writing down the field equations $\mathcal{E} = 0$ is a simple matter. These equations are non-singular also when Θ is degenerate; in the non-degenerate case one expects this approach to reproduce essentially the usual Einstein-Cartan-Maxwell-Dirac field equations.

The Θ -component

$$(\mathcal{E}_g)_\nu^c = -(\mathcal{E}_{em} + \mathcal{E}_D)_\nu^c ,$$

corresponds to the Einstein equation; actually, as already discussed, in the non-degenerate case the left-hand side is essentially the Einstein tensor, while the right-hand side can be viewed as the sum of the energy-momentum tensors of the electromagnetic field and of the Dirac field.

The $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -component gives the equation for torsion

$$(\mathcal{E}_g)_\lambda^\mu = -(\mathcal{E}_D)_\lambda^\mu .$$

From this one sees that the spinor field is a source for torsion, and that in this context one cannot formulate a torsion-free theory.

It was already seen (§3.3) that the \tilde{F} -component reads $F = 2 dA$ in the non-degenerate case, and of course this yields the first Maxwell equation $dF = 0$. The A -component is

$$-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{abcd} (d*F)_{bcd} + q \check{\Theta}_\lambda^a (\bar{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^\lambda \psi) = 0 \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \frac{1}{2} c \varepsilon^{abcd} (d*F)_{bcd} = q \check{\Theta}_\lambda^a (\bar{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^\lambda \psi) .$$

In the non-degenerate case this gives the second Maxwell equation

$$\frac{1}{2} * d * F = j ,$$

where $j : M \rightarrow \otimes T^*M$ is the *Dirac current*, with coordinate expression

$$j := \frac{q}{c} \Theta_a^\lambda (\bar{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}_\lambda \psi) dx^a .$$

The \bar{u} - and \bar{x} -components $(\mathcal{E}_D)_A = 0$ and $(\mathcal{E}_D)^B = 0$ give the following generalized form of the standard *Dirac equation*:

$$\begin{cases} \sqrt{2} i \check{\Theta}_{AA}^a \nabla_a u^A - m \chi_A \det \Theta + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} T_{AA} u^A = 0 \\ \sqrt{2} i \check{\Theta}^{AA} \nabla_a \chi_A - m u^A \det \Theta + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} T^{AA} \chi_A = 0 \end{cases} .$$

Denoting by \check{T} the 1-form obtained from the torsion by contraction, with coordinate expression $\check{T}_a \equiv T_a = T_{ab}^b$, the above equation can be written in coordinate-free form as

$$(i \nabla - m + \frac{i}{2} \gamma^\#(\check{T})) \psi = 0 .$$

4 Dirac algebra in two-spinor terms

4.1 Dirac algebra

If V is a finite-dimensional real vector space endowed with a non-degenerate scalar product, then its *Clifford algebra* $C(V)$ is the associative algebra generated by V where the product of any $u, v \in V$ is subjected to the condition

$$u v + v u = 2 u \cdot v , \quad u, v \in V .$$

The Clifford algebra fulfills the following *universal property*: if A is an associative algebra with unity and $\gamma : V \rightarrow A$ is a linear map such that $\gamma(v) \gamma(v) = v \cdot v \forall v \in V$, then γ extends to a unique homomorphism $\hat{\gamma} : C(V) \rightarrow A$. It turns out that $C(V)$ is isomorphic, as a vector space, to the vector space underlying the exterior algebra $\wedge V$; through this isomorphism one identifies $v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_p$ with the antisymmetrized Clifford product

$$\frac{1}{p!} (v_1 v_2 \cdots v_p - v_2 v_1 \cdots v_p + \cdots)$$

where the sum is extended to all permutations of the set $\{1, \dots, p\}$, with the appropriate signs. In other terms, one has two distinct algebras on the same underlying vector space: any element of $C(V)$ can be uniquely expressed as a sum of terms, each of well-defined exterior degree. For example, one has $uv = u \wedge v + u \cdot v$; from this one sees that the Clifford algebra product does not preserve the exterior algebra degree, but only its parity: $C(V)$ is \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded. If $\phi \in \wedge^r V$, $\theta \in \wedge^s V$, then the Clifford product $\phi \theta$ turns out to be a sum of terms of exterior degree $r+s, r+s-2, \dots, |r-s|$.

The Clifford algebra $D := C(H)$ of Minkowski space H (§1.4) is called the *Dirac algebra*. The Dirac map $\gamma : H \rightarrow \text{End}(W)$ is a Clifford map, hence by virtue of the above said universal property one can see the Dirac algebra as a real vector

subspace $\mathbf{D} \subset \text{End}(\mathbf{W})$ of dimension $2^4 = 16$. Since this coincides with the *complex* dimension of $\text{End}(\mathbf{W}) \equiv \mathbf{W} \otimes \mathbf{W}^\star$, one gets $\text{End}(\mathbf{W}) = \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbf{D}$.

The Dirac algebra \mathbf{D} is multiplicatively generated by $\gamma(\mathbf{H}) \subset \text{End}(\mathbf{W})$, simply identified with \mathbf{H} . One has the natural decompositions

$$\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}^{(+)} \oplus \mathbf{D}^{(-)} = (\mathbb{R} \oplus \wedge^2 \mathbf{H} \oplus \wedge^4 \mathbf{H}) \oplus (\mathbf{H} \oplus \wedge^3 \mathbf{H}) ,$$

where $\mathbf{D}^{(+)}$ and $\mathbf{D}^{(-)}$ denote the even-degree and odd-degree subspaces, respectively (the former is a subalgebra). Also, one has the distinguished elements

$$1 \equiv \mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{W}} \subset \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbf{D}^{(+)} , \quad \eta^\# \subset \wedge^4 \mathbf{H} \subset \mathbf{D}^{(+)} ,$$

where $\eta^\# \equiv g^\#(\eta)$ is the contravariant tensor corresponding to the unimodular volume form η . One gets

$$\eta^\# \eta^\# = -1 , \quad \vartheta \eta^\# = * \vartheta \quad \forall \vartheta \in \wedge \mathbf{H} ,$$

where $*$ is the Hodge isomorphism.

4.2 Decomposition of $\text{End } \mathbf{W}$ and ε -transposition

One has the natural decomposition

$$\text{End}(\mathbf{W}) \equiv \text{End}(\mathbf{U} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star) = (\mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star) \oplus (\mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}) \oplus (\overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star) \oplus (\overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}) .$$

Accordingly, any $\Phi \in \text{End}(\mathbf{W})$ is a 4-uple of tensors, which will be conveniently written in matricial form as

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} K & P \\ Q & J \end{pmatrix} , \quad K \in \mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star , \quad P \in \mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}} , \quad Q \in \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star , \quad J \in \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}} .$$

We now introduce an operation which acts on each of the above 4 types of tensors in a similar way. This operation, called ε -transposition, is actually independent of the particular normalized $\varepsilon \in \wedge^2 \mathbf{U}^\star$ chosen; it is defined by

$$\mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star \rightarrow \mathbf{U}^\star \otimes \mathbf{U} : K \mapsto \tilde{K} := \langle \varepsilon^\flat \otimes \varepsilon^\#, K \rangle = \varepsilon_{CA} K^C_D \varepsilon^{DB} z^A \otimes \zeta_B ,$$

$$\mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}^\star \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star : P \mapsto \tilde{P} := \langle \varepsilon^\flat \otimes \bar{\varepsilon}^\flat, P \rangle = \varepsilon_{CA} P^{CD} \bar{\varepsilon}_{DB} z^A \otimes \bar{z}^{B'} ,$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{U}} \otimes \mathbf{U} : Q \mapsto \tilde{Q} := \langle \bar{\varepsilon}^\# \otimes \varepsilon^\#, Q \rangle = \bar{\varepsilon}^{C'A'} Q_{CD} \varepsilon^{DB} \zeta_A \otimes \bar{\zeta}_{B'} ,$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{U}} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star : J \mapsto \tilde{J} := \langle \bar{\varepsilon}^\# \otimes \bar{\varepsilon}^\flat, J \rangle = \bar{\varepsilon}^{C'A'} J_C^{D'} \bar{\varepsilon}_{D'B'} \bar{\zeta}_A \otimes \bar{z}^{B'} .$$

Namely, ε -transposition changes the position (either high or low) of both indices of the tensor it acts on. For elements in $\mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}$ or $\overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star$ it essentially amounts to index lowering (resp. raising) by the Lorentz metric g in complexified Minkowski space; for invertible elements in $\mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star \equiv \text{End}(\mathbf{U})$ or $\overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}} \equiv \text{End}(\overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star)$, ε -transposition amounts to

$$\tilde{X} = (\det X) (X^{-1})^\star ,$$

where the superscript \star denotes standard transposition.

It is clear that ε -transposition can be similarly defined¹² on $\mathbf{U}^\star \otimes \mathbf{U}$, $\mathbf{U}^\star \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star$, $\overline{\mathbf{U}} \otimes \mathbf{U}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{U}} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star$, and in all cases one gets

$$\tilde{\tilde{X}} = X, \quad (\tilde{X})^\star = (X^\star)^\sim, \quad \tilde{X} X^\star = X^\star \tilde{X} = (\det X) \mathbb{1}, \quad \det X = \det \tilde{X}.$$

Remark. The determinant is uniquely defined, via any ε , also for elements in $\mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}$, $\mathbf{U}^\star \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star$, $\overline{\mathbf{U}} \otimes \mathbf{U}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star$. In these cases, the determinant of a tensor equals one-half its Lorentz pseudo-norm.

Moreover, whenever the composition of tensors X and Y is defined, one has

$$(X Y)^\sim = \tilde{X} \tilde{Y}, \quad \text{Tr}(\tilde{X} \tilde{Y}) = \text{Tr}(X Y).$$

Whenever A and B are tensors of the same type, one has

$$\det(A + B) = \det(A) + \det(B) + \text{Tr}(A^\star \tilde{B}),$$

where the *scalar product* $(A, B) \mapsto \text{Tr}(A^\star \tilde{B})$ is *symmetric*.¹³

Proposition 4.1 *Let $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} K & P \\ Q & J \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{W} \otimes \mathbf{W}^\star$ be non-singular. Then*

$$\det \Phi = (\det K)(\det J) + (\det P)(\det Q) - \text{Tr}(K^\star \tilde{P} J^\star \tilde{Q}),$$

$$(\det \Phi) \Phi^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} (\det J) \tilde{K}^\star - \tilde{Q}^\star J \tilde{P}^\star & (\det P) \tilde{Q}^\star - \tilde{K}^\star P \tilde{J}^\star \\ (\det Q) \tilde{P}^\star - \tilde{J}^\star Q \tilde{K}^\star & (\det K) \tilde{J}^\star - \tilde{P}^\star K \tilde{Q}^\star \end{pmatrix}.$$

PROOF: It can be checked by a direct calculation, taking into account the above identities. \square

4.3 ε -adjoint and characterization of D

If X is a tensor of any of the above types, then its ε -adjoint is the tensor

$$X^\ddagger := \tilde{\tilde{X}}.$$

Using this operation one defines the real involution

$$\ddagger : \mathbf{W} \otimes \mathbf{W}^\star \rightarrow \mathbf{W} \otimes \mathbf{W}^\star : \begin{pmatrix} K & P \\ Q & J \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} J^\ddagger & Q^\ddagger \\ P^\ddagger & K^\ddagger \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proposition 4.2 *D and iD are the eigenspaces of \ddagger corresponding to eigenvalues $+1$ and -1 , respectively. Namely, D is the real subspace of $\mathbf{W} \otimes \mathbf{W}^\star$ constituted by all endomorphisms which can be written in the form*

$$\begin{pmatrix} K & P \\ P^\ddagger & K^\ddagger \end{pmatrix}, \quad K \in \mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star, \quad P \in \mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}.$$

Moreover one has the following characterisations

$$D^0 \equiv \mathbb{R} = \left\{ r \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_U & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{1}_{\overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star} \end{pmatrix}, \quad r \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$

¹²One could introduce ε -transposition on further spaces such as $\mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}$, $\mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star$ and so on. These extensions however would depend from the chosen normalized ε ; phase factors cancel out only in the considered cases.

¹³On $\mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{U}} \otimes \mathbf{U}$ (resp. $\mathbf{U}^\star \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star$ and $\overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star$) this coincides with $2g$ (resp. $2g^\#$).

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{D}^1 \equiv \mathbf{H} &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P \\ P^\ddagger & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P \in \mathbf{H} \right\}, \\
\mathbf{D}^2 \equiv \wedge^2 \mathbf{H} &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K^\ddagger \end{pmatrix}, \quad K \in \mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star, \quad \text{Tr } K = 0 \right\}, \\
\mathbf{D}^3 \equiv \wedge^3 \mathbf{H} &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P \\ P^\ddagger & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P \in \text{i } \mathbf{H} \right\}, \\
\mathbf{D}^4 \equiv \wedge^4 \mathbf{H} &= \left\{ \text{i } r \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_U & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{1}_{\overline{U}^\star} \end{pmatrix}, \quad r \in \mathbb{R} \right\}, \\
\mathbf{D}^{(+)} &= \mathbf{D}^0 \oplus \mathbf{D}^2 \oplus \mathbf{D}^4 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K^\ddagger \end{pmatrix}, \quad K \in \mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star \right\}, \\
\mathbf{D}^{(-)} &= \mathbf{D}^1 \oplus \mathbf{D}^3 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P \\ P^\ddagger & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P \in \mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

PROOF: The Dirac map $\gamma : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \text{End } \mathbf{W}$ can be written as

$$\gamma : v \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{2}v \\ \sqrt{2}v^\ddagger & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

whence the characterization of \mathbf{D}^1 . It immediately follows that $\mathbf{D}^{(+)}$ is constituted by diagonal-block elements, while $\mathbf{D}^{(-)}$ is constituted by off-diagonal-block elements. The other characterizations can be checked by matrix calculations. \square

5 Clifford group and its subgroups

5.1 Clifford group

Let $\mathbf{D}^\bullet := \mathbf{D} \cap \text{Aut } \mathbf{W}$ be the group of all invertible elements in \mathbf{D} . The Clifford group $\text{Cl} \equiv \text{Cl}(\mathbf{W})$ is defined to be [7, 9] the subgroup of \mathbf{D}^\bullet under whose adjoint action \mathbf{H} is stable. In other terms, $\Phi \in \mathbf{D}^\bullet$ is an element of Cl iff

$$\text{Ad}[\Phi]v \equiv \Phi \gamma(v) \Phi^{-1} \in \gamma(\mathbf{H}), \quad \forall v \in \mathbf{H}.$$

Using proposition 4.1 we write the adjoint action as

$$\begin{aligned}
(\det \Phi) \text{ Ad}[\Phi]v &= \begin{pmatrix} K & P \\ P^\ddagger & K^\ddagger \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & V \\ V^\ddagger & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ Y^\ddagger & X^\ddagger \end{pmatrix} = \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} PV^\ddagger X + KVY^\ddagger & PV^\ddagger Y + KVX^\ddagger \\ K^\ddagger V^\ddagger X + P^\ddagger VY^\ddagger & K^\ddagger V^\ddagger Y + P^\ddagger VX^\ddagger \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

where $V \equiv \sqrt{2}v$ and

$$X \equiv (\det \tilde{K}) \tilde{K}^\star - \bar{P}^\star \tilde{K} \tilde{P}^\star, \quad Y \equiv (\det P) \bar{P}^\star - \tilde{K}^\star P \tilde{K}^\star,$$

$$X^\ddagger = (\det K) \bar{K}^\star - \tilde{P}^\star K \bar{P}^\star, \quad Y^\ddagger = (\det \bar{P}) \tilde{P}^\star - \bar{K}^\star \tilde{P} \tilde{K}^\star.$$

Lemma 5.1 *An element of \mathbf{D}^\bullet which belongs to the Clifford group is necessarily either odd or even, so that the Clifford group is the disjoint union $\text{Cl} = \text{Cl}^{(+)} \cup \text{Cl}^{(-)}$ where $\text{Cl}^{(+)} \equiv \text{Cl} \cap \mathbf{D}^{(+)}$, $\text{Cl}^{(-)} \equiv \text{Cl} \cap \mathbf{D}^{(-)}$.*

PROOF: If Φ is in Cl then the $\mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star$ -component of $\text{Ad}[\Phi]v$ vanishes for all $v \in \mathbf{H}$, namely

$$K V \tilde{Y} = -P \tilde{V} X , \quad \forall V \in \mathbf{H} .$$

Composing both sides with $\tilde{V}^\star \tilde{K}^\star$ on the left and with \tilde{X}^\star on the right one finds

$$(\det K) (\det V) \tilde{Y} \tilde{X}^\star = -(\det \Phi) (\det \tilde{K}) \tilde{V}^\star \tilde{K}^\star P \tilde{V} .$$

Now the above equality is certainly fulfilled in the particular case when $\det K = 0$. Suppose $\det K \neq 0$ for the moment (the other case will be considered later). The left-hand side vanishes for all null elements $V \in \mathbf{H}$, thus also $\tilde{V}^\star \tilde{K}^\star P \tilde{V}$ vanishes for all null vectors V ; it's not difficult to see that this implies $\tilde{K}^\star P = 0$, which in turn implies $P = 0$. Summarizing, if $\Phi \in \text{Cl}$ and $\det K \neq 0$ then $P = 0$. By a similar argument, composing the equation $K V \tilde{Y} = -P \tilde{V} X$ on the left by $\tilde{V}^\star \tilde{P}^\star$ and on the right by \tilde{Y}^\star , one finds that if $\Phi \in \text{Cl}$ and $\det P \neq 0$ then $K = 0$.

The case which remains to be considered is that when $\det K = \det P = 0$. Since $\det P = \frac{1}{2}g(P, P)$, P is an isotropic element of $\mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}$, and as such it is decomposable. Similarly, K is decomposable. Namely one can write

$$K = k \otimes \lambda , \quad P = p \otimes \bar{q} , \quad V = s \otimes \bar{s} , \quad k, p, q, s \in \mathbf{U}, \lambda \in \mathbf{U}^\star .$$

A little two-spinor algebra then yields

$$P \tilde{V} X + K V \tilde{Y} = \bar{\varepsilon}(\bar{k}, \bar{p}) \left[\langle \lambda, q \rangle |\langle \lambda, s \rangle|^2 k \otimes k^\flat - \langle \bar{\lambda}, \bar{q} \rangle |\varepsilon(s, q)|^2 p \otimes p^\flat \right] ,$$

$$\det \Phi = -\text{Tr}(K \tilde{P}^\star \tilde{K} \tilde{P}^\star) = |\varepsilon(k, p)|^2 |\langle \lambda, q \rangle|^2 .$$

Now one sees that in order that $\det \Phi \neq 0$ one must have $\langle \lambda, q \rangle \neq 0$ and $\varepsilon(k, p) \neq 0$. Thus $k \otimes k^\flat$ and $p \otimes p^\flat$ are linearly independent elements of $\mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star$ and, in order that $P \tilde{V} X + K V \tilde{Y}$ vanishes for all V , one must have $\langle \lambda, s \rangle = \varepsilon(q, s)$ for all $s \in \mathbf{U}$, which implies $\lambda = 0$ and $q = 0$ that is $K = 0$ and $P = 0$, a contradiction. Thus the case $\det K = \det P = 0$ cannot yield an element $\Phi \in \text{Cl}$. \square

Proposition 5.1

a) $\text{Cl}^{(+)}$ is the 7-dimensional real submanifold of $\mathbf{D}^{(+)}$ constituted of all elements in $\mathbf{W} \otimes \mathbf{W}^\star$ which are of the type

$$\begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K^\dagger \end{pmatrix} , \quad K \in \mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star , \quad \det K \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} .$$

b) $\text{Cl}^{(-)}$ is the 7-dimensional real submanifold of $\mathbf{D}^{(-)}$ constituted of all elements in $\mathbf{W} \otimes \mathbf{W}^\star$ which are of the type

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & P \\ P^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad P \in \mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}} , \quad \det P \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} .$$

PROOF:

a) Let $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K^\ddagger \end{pmatrix}$, $K \in \mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}^*$, $\det K \neq 0$. Then

$$(\det \Phi) \operatorname{Ad}[\Phi]v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (\det K) KV \bar{K}^* \\ (\det \bar{K}) \tilde{\bar{K}} \tilde{V} \bar{K}^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V \equiv \sqrt{2} v \in \mathbf{H}.$$

For $\operatorname{Ad}[\Phi]v$ to be in \mathbf{H} , the two non-zero entries of the above matrix must be in $\mathbf{H} \equiv \mathbf{U} \bar{\vee} \bar{\mathbf{U}}$ and in $\bar{\mathbf{U}}^* \bar{\vee} \mathbf{U}^*$, respectively. Consider the $\mathbf{U} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{U}}$ -entry. Since $\bar{V} = V^*$ because V is Hermitian, one finds

$$[(\det K) KV \bar{K}^*]^* = (\det \bar{K}) KV \bar{K}^*,$$

and $(\det K) KV \bar{K}^*$ is Hermitian for all $V \in \mathbf{H}$ iff $\det K = \det \bar{K}$ (this argument gives the same result for the other non-zero entry).

b) Let $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P \\ P^\ddagger & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $P \in \mathbf{U} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{U}}$, $\det P = \frac{1}{2} g(P, P) \neq 0$. Then

$$(\det \Phi) \operatorname{Ad}[\Phi]v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (\det P) P \tilde{\bar{V}} \bar{P}^* \\ (\det \bar{P}) \tilde{\bar{P}} V \tilde{P}^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the same argument as before, $\Phi \in \operatorname{Cl}$ iff $\det P = \det \bar{P}$. \square

Now it is not difficult to show that any complex 2×2 -matrix with real determinant can be written as a product of Hermitian matrices. Using this, one recovers a well-known result:

Proposition 5.2 *Cl is multiplicatively generated by $\mathbf{H}^* \subset \mathbf{H}$, the subset of all elements in \mathbf{H} with non-vanishing Lorentz pseudo-norm.*

Namely any element of Cl can be written as

$$\Phi = v_1 v_2 \dots v_n, \quad v_j \in \mathbf{H}, \quad g(v_j, v_j) \neq 0;$$

its inverse is

$$\Phi^{-1} = \frac{1}{\nu(\Phi)} v_n \dots v_2 v_1, \quad \nu(\Phi) := g(v_1, v_1) g(v_2, v_2) \dots g(v_n, v_n).$$

Setting now $V_i \equiv \sqrt{2} v_i$ one has $\det V_i = \det \tilde{\bar{V}}_i = g(v_i, v_i)$, hence

$$\nu(\Phi) = \det(V_1 \tilde{\bar{V}}_2 V_3 \tilde{\bar{V}}_4 \dots) = \prod_{i=1}^n \det(V_i).$$

Namely, if $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K^\ddagger \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{Cl}^{(+)}$ then $\nu(\Phi) = \det K = \det K^\ddagger$; if $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P \\ P^\ddagger & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{Cl}^{(-)}$ then $\nu(\Phi) = \det P = \det P^\ddagger$.

Remark. Actually, it can be seen that any complex 2×2 -matrix with real determinant can be written as a product of just *three* Hermitian matrices (but not, in general, of two matrices). This implies that an element in $\operatorname{Cl}^{(-)}$ can be written as $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & P \\ P^\ddagger & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with $P = V_1 V_2^\ddagger V_3$, and an element in $\operatorname{Cl}^{(+)}$ can be written as $\begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K^\ddagger \end{pmatrix}$ with $K = V_1 V_2^\ddagger V_3 V_4^\ddagger$, $V_i \in \mathbf{H}^*$.

The adjoint action of any $w \in \mathbf{H}$ on \mathbf{H} is easily checked to be the negative of the reflection through the hyperplane orthogonal to w . It follows that $\text{Cl}^{(+)}$ is the subgroup of all elements in Cl whose adjoint action preserves the orientation of \mathbf{H} . Moreover, the subgroup

$$\text{Cl}^\dagger := \{\Phi \in \text{Cl} : \nu(\Phi) > 0\}$$

is constituted of all elements of Cl whose adjoint action preserves the time-orientation of \mathbf{H} . Its representation as $\Phi = v_1 v_2 \dots v_n$ has an even number of spacelike factors and any number of timelike factors.

The unit element of Cl is $\mathbb{1} \in \mathbf{D}^{(+)} \subset \mathbf{D}$. Thus the Lie algebra of Cl is a 7-dimensional vector subspace

$$\mathfrak{L}\text{Cl} \subset \mathbf{D}^{(+)} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \wedge^2 \mathbf{H} \oplus \wedge^4 \mathbf{H} \equiv \mathbb{R} \mathbb{1} \oplus \hat{\gamma}(\wedge^2 \mathbf{H}) \oplus \hat{\gamma}(\wedge^4 \mathbf{H}) .$$

Now observe that $\wedge^4 \mathbf{H}$ is not contained in $\mathfrak{L}\text{Cl}$ since

$$t \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \exp(t \eta^\#) = \exp \begin{pmatrix} -it \mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{U}} & 0 \\ 0 & it \mathbb{1}_{\overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-it} \mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{U}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{it} \mathbb{1}_{\overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star} \end{pmatrix}$$

is not in Cl because the two component endomorphisms $e^{-it} \mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{U}} \in \mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star$ and $e^{it} \mathbb{1}_{\overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star} \in \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}$ have non-real determinant. Hence, just by a dimension argument, one finds

$$\mathfrak{L}\text{Cl} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \wedge^2 \mathbf{H} .$$

5.2 Pin and Spin

If $\Phi \in \text{Cl}$ and $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ then $\text{Ad}[a\Phi] = \text{Ad}[\Phi] : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$. It is then natural to consider the subgroup

$$\text{Pin} := \{\Phi \in \text{Cl} : \nu(\Phi) = \pm 1\} ,$$

which is multiplicatively generated by all elements in \mathbf{H} whose Lorentz pseudo-norm is ± 1 . It has the subgroups

$$\text{Spin} := \text{Pin}^{(+)} \equiv \text{Pin} \cap \text{Cl}^{(+)} = \{\Phi \in \text{Cl}^{(+)} : \nu(\Phi) = \pm 1\} ,$$

$$\text{Pin}^\dagger := \text{Pin} \cap \text{Cl}^\dagger = \{\Phi \in \text{Cl} : \nu(\Phi) = 1\} ,$$

$$\text{Spin}^\dagger := \text{Spin} \cap \text{Cl}^\dagger = \{\Phi \in \text{Cl}^{(+)} : \nu(\Phi) = 1\} .$$

These share the same Lie algebra

$$\wedge^2 \mathbf{H} = \mathfrak{L}\text{Pin} = \mathfrak{L}\text{Spin} = \mathfrak{L}\text{Pin}^\dagger = \mathfrak{L}\text{Spin}^\dagger .$$

The automorphisms of \mathbf{U} which have unit determinant constitute the group $\text{Sl} \equiv \text{Sl}(\mathbf{U})$; thus

$$\text{Cl}^{(\dagger)+} \equiv \text{Cl}^{(+)} \cap \text{Cl}^\dagger = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \in \text{End } \mathbf{W} : K \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \text{Sl} \right\} ,$$

$$\text{Spin}^\dagger = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \in \text{End } \mathbf{W} : K \in \text{Sl} \right\} .$$

In particular, one has the isomorphism

$$\text{Spin}^\dagger \leftrightarrow \text{Sl} : \left(\begin{smallmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K^\ddagger \end{smallmatrix} \right) \leftrightarrow K .$$

Now remember that

$$\hat{\gamma}(\wedge^2 \mathbf{H}) = \left\{ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A^\ddagger \end{smallmatrix} \right) \in \text{End } \mathbf{W} : \text{Tr } A = 0 \right\} ,$$

$$\hat{\gamma}(\mathbb{R} \oplus \wedge^2 \mathbf{H}) = \left\{ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A^\ddagger \end{smallmatrix} \right) \in \text{End } \mathbf{W} : \Im \text{Tr } A = 0 \right\} ;$$

moreover $\text{End } \mathbf{U}$ can be decomposed into the direct sum of the subspace of all traceless endomorphism, which is just $\mathfrak{L}\text{Sl}$, and the subspace $\mathbb{C} \mathbf{1}$ generated by the identity. Then one has the Lie algebra isomorphisms

$$\mathfrak{L}\text{Cl} = \mathfrak{L}\text{Cl}^{(+)\dagger} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \wedge^2 \mathbf{H} \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R} \mathbf{1}) \oplus \mathfrak{L}\text{Sl} ,$$

$$\mathfrak{L}\text{Pin} = \mathfrak{L}\text{Spin}^\dagger = \wedge^2 \mathbf{H} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{L}\text{Sl} .$$

Proposition 5.3 *Let*

$$\Phi = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K^\ddagger \end{smallmatrix} \right) \in \text{Spin} , \quad v \in \mathbf{H} , \quad \gamma(v) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} V & 0 \\ 0 & V^\ddagger \end{smallmatrix} \right) \equiv \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \sqrt{2}v & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2}v^\ddagger \end{smallmatrix} \right) .$$

Then

$$\text{Ad}[\Phi]\gamma(v) = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & [K \otimes \bar{K}](V) \\ ([K \otimes \bar{K}](V))^\ddagger & 0 \end{pmatrix} ,$$

where the + sign holds iff $\Phi \in \text{Spin}^\dagger$.

PROOF: Remembering the previous results one finds

$$\text{Ad}[\Phi]\gamma(v) = \frac{1}{\det K} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & KV\bar{K}^\star \\ (KV\bar{K}^\star)^\ddagger & 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$

Moreover

$$(KV\bar{K}^\star)^{AA'} = K^A_B V^{BB'} (\bar{K}^\star)_{B'}^{A'} = K^A_B V^{BB'} \bar{K}_{B'}^{A'} = (K \otimes \bar{K})^{AA'}_{BB'} V^{BB'} .$$

□

Now remember (§1.8) that the group $\{K \otimes \bar{K} : K \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{U})\}$ is constituted of automorphisms of $\mathbf{U} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{U}}$ which preserve the splitting $\mathbf{U} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{U}} = \mathbf{H} \oplus i\mathbf{H}$ and the causal structure of \mathbf{H} . Its subgroup $\{K \otimes \bar{K} : K \in \text{Sl}(\mathbf{U})\}$ coincides with $\text{Lor}_+^\dagger(\mathbf{H})$. Thus one sees that the group isomorphism $\text{Sl} \rightarrow \text{Spin}^\dagger$ determines the 2-to-1 epimorphism $\text{Spin}^\dagger \rightarrow \text{Lor}_+^\dagger$.

One also finds that Spin^\dagger is the subgroup of $\text{End } \mathbf{W}$ preserving $(\gamma, k, g, \eta, \varepsilon)$ as well as time-orientation. Let's review these properties in terms of two-spinors.

- Obviously, Spin^\dagger preserves the splitting $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{U} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{U}}^*$. If $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K^\ddagger \end{pmatrix}$, $K \in \text{Sl}(\mathbf{U})$, then $\tilde{K} = K^{-1}$, so for $\psi \equiv (u, \chi), \psi' \equiv (u', \chi') \in \mathbf{W}$ one gets

$$\begin{aligned} k(\Phi\psi, \Phi\psi') &= k((K u, \chi \bar{K}^{-1}), (K u', \chi' \bar{K}^{-1})) = \langle \bar{\chi} K^{-1}, K u' \rangle + \langle \chi' \bar{K}^{-1}, \bar{K} \bar{u} \rangle = \\ &= \langle \bar{\chi}, u' \rangle + \langle \chi', \bar{u} \rangle = k(\psi, \psi') . \end{aligned}$$

- Since $K \otimes \bar{K} : \mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}} \rightarrow \mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}$ sends Hermitian tensors to Hermitian tensors and anti-Hermitian tensors to anti-Hermitian tensors, it preserves the splitting $\mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}} = \mathbf{H} \oplus i\mathbf{H}$. Also, remember that $K \otimes \bar{K} = \text{Ad}[\Phi]$.
- $K \otimes \bar{K} = \text{Ad}[\Phi] \in \text{Lor}_+^+(\mathbf{H})$, the subgroup of the Lorentz group which preserves orientation and time-orientation.
- Φ preserves the Dirac map γ . In fact if $y \in \mathbf{H}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma[y] &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{2}y \\ \sqrt{2}y^\ddagger & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad y^\ddagger \equiv \tilde{y} = \tilde{y}^* , \\ \text{Ad}[\Phi]\gamma[y] &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{2}[K \otimes \bar{K}]y \\ \sqrt{2}([K \otimes \bar{K}]y)^\ddagger & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \gamma[[K \otimes \bar{K}]y] . \end{aligned}$$

- If $K \in \text{Sl}$ then K preserves any symplectic form $\varepsilon \in \wedge^2 \mathbf{U}^*$. Hence $\Phi \equiv \begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K^\ddagger \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Spin}^\dagger$ preserves the corresponding symplectic form $(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^\#) \in \wedge^2 \mathbf{W}^*$ and charge conjugation.

6 Spinors and particle momenta

6.1 Particle momentum in two-spinor terms

It has already been observed (§1.4) that any future-pointing non-spacelike element in \mathbf{H} can be written in the form

$$u \otimes \bar{u} + v \otimes \bar{v} , \quad u, v \in \mathbf{U} .$$

If u and v are not proportional to each other, that is $\varepsilon(u, v) \neq 0$, then the above expression is a timelike future-pointing vector; if $\varepsilon(u, v) \neq 0$, then it is a null vector. Future-pointing elements in \mathbf{H} are a contravariant, “conformally invariant” version of *classical particle momenta* (translation to a scaled and/or covariant version, when needed, will be effortless).

Let \mathbf{K} and \mathbf{N} be the subsets of \mathbf{H} constituted of all future-pointing timelike vectors and of all future-pointing null vectors, respectively; moreover, set $\mathbf{J} := \mathbf{K} \cup \mathbf{N}$ (all these sets do not contain the zero element). Consider now the real quadratic maps

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p} : \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{J} : (u, v) \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u \otimes \bar{u} + v \otimes \bar{v}) , \\ p : \mathbf{W} \cong \mathbf{U} \times \overline{\mathbf{U}}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{J} : (u, \chi) \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u \otimes \bar{u} + \bar{\chi}^\# \otimes \chi^\#) . \end{aligned}$$

When a normalized symplectic form $\varepsilon \in \wedge^2 \mathbf{U}^*$ is *fixed*, \tilde{p} and p are essentially the same objects, as one can represent a given element $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u \otimes \bar{u} + v \otimes \bar{v})$ of \mathbf{J} by writing

$v \otimes \bar{v}$ as $(\bar{\chi} \otimes \chi)^\#$; here, $u, v \in \mathbf{U}$, $\chi \in \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star$. In such case I'll set

$$\begin{aligned} v := -\bar{\chi}^\# &\iff \chi = \bar{v}^\#, \\ \Rightarrow \langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle &= \langle v^\#, u \rangle = \varepsilon(v, u), \quad \langle \chi, \bar{u} \rangle = \langle \bar{v}^\#, \bar{u} \rangle = \bar{\varepsilon}(\bar{v}, \bar{u}). \end{aligned}$$

If $p = p(u, \chi) \equiv \tilde{p}(u, v)$ then we'll use the shorthands

$$\mu^2 := g(p, p) = 2 |\varepsilon(u, v)|^2 = 2 |\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle|^2,$$

$$h := \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\mu} \bar{p}^\# = \frac{1}{|\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle|} (\bar{u}^\# \otimes u^\# + \chi \otimes \bar{\chi}).$$

Then, h can be seen as an ε -normalized Hermitian metric on \mathbf{U} .

Proposition 6.1 *Let $(u, \chi) \equiv (u, \bar{v}^\#) \in \mathbf{W}$, $\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle \neq 0$; let $p \in \mathbf{K}$. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- i) $p = u \otimes \bar{u} + (\bar{\chi} \otimes \chi)^\#$,
- ii) $\gamma[p](u, \chi) = \mu (e^{-i\theta} u, e^{i\theta} \chi)$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$,
- iii) $\bar{h}^\#(u) = e^{i\theta} \chi$,
- iv) $h^\#(\chi) = e^{-i\theta} u$,
- v) $h(\bar{u}, v) = 0$ and $|\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle| = h(\bar{u}, u)$,
- v') $h(\bar{u}, v) = 0$ and $|\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle| = h(\bar{v}, v)$,

where μ and h are defined in terms of (u, χ) as above.

PROOF: By straighorwaed calculations one sees that condition i implies conditions ii, iii, iv, v and v'. Moreover:

(ii \Leftrightarrow iii): It follows from $\gamma[\tau](u, \chi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \gamma[\bar{h}^\#](u, \chi) = (h^\#(\chi), \bar{h}^\#(u))$.

(iii \Leftrightarrow iv): If $\bar{h}^\#(u) = e^{i\theta} \chi$ then $u = h^\#(\bar{h}^\#(u)) = h^\#(e^{i\theta} \chi) = e^{i\theta} h^\#(\chi)$. Similarly, if $h^\#(\chi) = e^{-i\theta} u$ then $\chi = \bar{h}^\#(h^\#(\chi)) = \bar{h}^\#(e^{-i\theta} u) = e^{-i\theta} \bar{h}^\#(u)$.

(iv \Rightarrow v): $h(\bar{u}, v) = \langle h^\#(\bar{u}), -\bar{\chi}^\# \rangle = -\langle e^{-i\theta} \bar{\chi}, \bar{\chi}^\# \rangle = e^{-i\theta} \varepsilon^\#(\bar{\chi}, \bar{\chi}) = 0$.

Moreover $h(\bar{u}, u) = \langle \bar{h}^\#(u), \bar{u} \rangle = \langle e^{i\theta} \chi, \bar{u} \rangle = \langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle \langle \chi, \bar{u} \rangle / |\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle| = |\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle|$.

(v \Rightarrow iv): From $0 = h(\bar{u}, v) = \langle h^\#(\bar{u}), -\bar{\chi}^\# \rangle = -\varepsilon^\#(\bar{\chi}, h^\#(\bar{u}))$ one has $\bar{\chi} = c h^\#(\bar{u})$, $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle = c h(\bar{u}, u) = c |\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle| \Rightarrow c = e^{i\theta}$.

(v' \Rightarrow iv): From iv (equivalent to v) one has $h(\bar{v}, v) = \langle h, \chi^\# \otimes \bar{\chi}^\# \rangle = \langle h^\#, \chi \otimes \bar{\chi} \rangle = \langle h^\#(\chi), \bar{\chi} \rangle = e^{-i\theta} \langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle = |\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle|$, hence also $h(\bar{v}, v) = |\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle|$.

(v' \Rightarrow iv'): As in v \Rightarrow iv one has $\bar{\chi} = c h^\#(u)$, $c \in \mathbb{C}$, or $u = \frac{1}{c} h^\#(\chi)$. Then, from $\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle = \langle \bar{\chi}, \frac{1}{c} h^\#(\chi) \rangle = \frac{1}{c} h^\#(\chi, \bar{\chi}) = \frac{1}{c} h(\bar{v}, v)$ one has $\bar{c} = e^{-i\theta}$ i.e. $c = e^{i\theta}$.

(v' \Rightarrow i): Using also v' (already seen to be equivalent to v) one sees that the couple $(\zeta_u, \zeta_v) \equiv (u, v) / \sqrt{|\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle|}$ is an h -orthonormal basis of \mathbf{U} ; hence $h^\# = \bar{\zeta}_u \otimes \zeta_u + \bar{\zeta}_v \otimes \zeta_v = \frac{1}{|\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle|} (\bar{u} \otimes u + \bar{v} \otimes v)$. Condition i then follows. \square

6.2 Bundle structure of 4-spinor space over momentum space

The previous results show that the restriction $p : \mathbf{W} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbf{J}$ is surjective. Since the Lorentz “length” of $p(u, \chi)$ is $\sqrt{2}|\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle|$ one sees that the subset of all elements in \mathbf{W} which project onto \mathbf{N} is the 6-dimensional real submanifold

$$\mathbf{W}^0 := p^{-1}(\mathbf{N}) = \{(u, \chi) \in \mathbf{W} \setminus \{0\} : \langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle = 0\} \subset \mathbf{W}.$$

The subset of all elements in \mathbf{W} which project onto \mathbf{K} is the open submanifold

$$\mathbf{W}^\lambda := p^{-1}(\mathbf{K}) = \{(u, \chi) \in \mathbf{W} : \langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle \neq 0\},$$

and one has

$$\mathbf{W} \setminus \{0\} = \mathbf{W}^0 \cup \mathbf{W}^\lambda.$$

Moreover, consider the subsets $\mathbf{W}^+, \mathbf{W}^- \subset \mathbf{W}^\lambda$ defined to be

$$\mathbf{W}^\pm := \{(u, \chi) \in \mathbf{W} : \langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle \in \mathbb{R}^\pm\}.$$

Recalling condition **ii** of proposition 6.1 one has

$$\gamma[p\psi]\psi = \mu(e^{-i\theta}u, e^{i\theta}\chi),$$

which holds for every $\psi \equiv (u, \chi) \in \mathbf{W}$ (if $\psi \in \mathbf{W}^0$ then $\mu = 0$). In particular

$$\mathbf{W}^\pm = \{\psi \equiv (u, \chi) \in \mathbf{W} \setminus \{0\} : \gamma[p\psi]\psi = \pm\mu\psi, \mu \equiv |\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle|\}.$$

Next, consider the subset

$$\tilde{\mathbf{W}}^\lambda := \{(u, v) : \varepsilon(u, v) \neq 0\} \subset \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{U},$$

and note that when a normalized symplectic form $\varepsilon \in \wedge^2 \mathbf{U}^\star$ is fixed, $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}^\lambda$ can be identified with \mathbf{W}^λ via the correspondence $\bar{v}^\flat \leftrightarrow \chi$. $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}^\lambda$ is a fibred set over \mathbf{K} ; for each $p \in \mathbf{K}$, the fibre of $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}^\lambda$ over p is the subset

$$\tilde{\mathbf{W}}_p^\lambda := \tilde{p}^{-1}(p) = \{(u, v) \in \tilde{\mathbf{W}}^\lambda : \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u \otimes \bar{u} + v \otimes \bar{v}) = p\}.$$

Proposition 6.2 $\tilde{p} : \tilde{\mathbf{W}}^\lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ is a trivializable principal bundle with structure group $\mathrm{U}(2)$.

PROOF: Let $p = \tilde{p}(u, v) = \tilde{p}(u', v')$. From proposition 6.1 one then sees that (u, v) and (u', v') are orthonormal bases of \mathbf{U} relatively to the Hermitian metric $h \equiv \sqrt{2}\bar{p}^\flat/\mu$. Then there exists a unique transformation $K \in \mathrm{U}(\mathbf{U}, h)$ such that

$$u' = K(u), \quad v' = K(v);$$

hence, $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}_p^\lambda$ is a group-affine space, with derived group $\mathrm{U}(2)$.

Let now (ζ_A) be an ε -normalized basis of \mathbf{U} and (τ_λ) the associated Pauli frame. For each $p \in \mathbf{K}$ let $L_p \in \mathrm{Lor}_+^\dagger(\mathbf{H})$ be the boost such that $L_p \tau_0 = p/\mu$, where $\mu^2 \equiv g(p, p)$; up to sign there is a unique $B_p \in \mathrm{Sl}(\mathbf{U})$ such that $L_p = B_p \otimes \bar{B}_p$, and a consistent smooth way of choosing one such B_p for each p can be fixed. It turns out that the basis $(\sqrt{\mu}B_p\zeta_A)$ is orthonormal relatively to $\sqrt{2}\bar{p}^\flat/\mu$ seen as a Hermitian metric on \mathbf{U} , hence $\tilde{p}(\sqrt{\mu}B_p\zeta_1, \sqrt{\mu}B_p\zeta_2) = p$. In this way one selects an “origin” element in each fibre of \tilde{p} , so getting a trivialization $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}^\lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{K} \times \mathrm{U}(2)$. \square

Using a little two-spinor algebra it is not difficult to prove:

Proposition 6.3 *Let $\psi, \psi' \in \mathbf{W}^\lambda$, $\psi \equiv (u, \chi)$, $\psi' \equiv (u', \chi')$; let $K \in \text{Aut } \mathbf{U}$ be the unique automorphism of \mathbf{U} such that*

$$K u = u, \quad K \bar{\chi}^\# = \bar{\chi}'^\#.$$

Then

$$K = \frac{1}{\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle^2} [\langle \bar{\chi}, u' \rangle u \otimes \bar{\chi} - \varepsilon^\#(\bar{\chi}, \bar{\chi}') u \otimes u^\flat + \varepsilon(u, u') \bar{\chi}^\# \otimes \bar{\chi} + \langle \bar{\chi}', u \rangle \bar{\chi}^\# \otimes u^\flat].$$

Moreover, one has

$$\chi' = K^\dagger \chi.$$

Conversely, the conditions $u' = Ku$ and $\chi' = K^\dagger \chi$ determine K uniquely.

The above expression for K is invariant relatively to the transformation $\varepsilon \mapsto e^{i\theta} \varepsilon$; hence, K is independent of the particular normalized symplectic form ε chosen.

When a normalized $\varepsilon \in \wedge^2 \mathbf{U}^\star$ is given, one has the real vector bundle isomorphism $\mathbf{W}^\lambda \leftrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{W}}^\lambda : (u, v) \leftrightarrow (u, \bar{v}^\flat)$. Through this correspondence, $\mathbf{W}^\lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ turns out to be a trivializable principal bundle with structure group $U(2)$. If $\psi, \psi' \in \mathbf{W}_p^\lambda$, let

$$(K) = c \begin{pmatrix} a & \bar{b} \\ -b & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \in U(2), \quad a, b, c \in \mathbb{C} : |a|^2 + |b|^2 = |c|^2 = 1,$$

be the matrix of $K \in \text{Aut } \mathbf{U}$ sending ψ to ψ' (according to proposition 6.3) relatively to the basis (u, v) . Then

$$\begin{cases} u' = c(a u - b v), \\ v' = c(\bar{b} u + \bar{a} v), \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} u' = c(a u + b \bar{\chi}^\#), \\ \chi' = \bar{c}(a \chi + b \bar{u}^\flat). \end{cases}$$

If you take a different normalized symplectic form $\varepsilon \rightarrow e^{i\theta} \varepsilon$, then K does not change, while the corresponding matrix $(K) \in U(2)$ changes according to $c \rightarrow c$, $a \rightarrow a$, $b \rightarrow e^{i\theta} b$.

The above $U(2)$ -action does not preserve $\mathbf{W}^\pm \subset \mathbf{W}^\lambda$. In fact it's straightforward to prove:

Proposition 6.4 *Let $\psi, \psi' \in \mathbf{W}_p^+$ (resp. $\psi, \psi' \in \mathbf{W}_p^-$), $\psi \equiv (u, \chi)$, $\psi' \equiv (u', \chi')$; let K be the unique automorphism of \mathbf{U} such that $Ku = u$, $K^\dagger \chi = \chi'$. Then $K \in \text{SU}(\mathbf{U}, h)$, where $h \equiv \sqrt{2} \bar{p}^\flat / \mu$.*

Hence, $\mathbf{W}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ and $\mathbf{W}^- \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ turn out to be trivializable principal bundles, with structure group $SU(2)$.

References

- [1] Canarutto, D., Jadczyk A. and Modugno, M.: ‘Quantum mechanics of a spin particle in a curved spacetime with absolute time’, *Rep. Math. Phys.* **36** (1995), 95–140.
- [2] Canarutto, D. and Jadczyk A.: ‘Fundamental geometric structures for the Dirac equation in General Relativity’, *Acta Appl. Math.* **50** N.1 (1998), 59–92.
- [3] Canarutto, D. and Jadczyk, A.: ‘Two-spinors and Einstein-Cartan-Maxwell-Dirac fields’, *Il Nuovo Cimento* **113** B (1997), 49–67.
- [4] Canarutto, D.: ‘Possibly degenerate tetrad gravity and Maxwell-Dirac fields’, *J. Math. Phys.* **39**, N.9 (1998), 4814–4823.
- [5] Canarutto, D.: ‘Two-spinors, field theories and geometric optics in curved spacetime’, *Acta Appl. Math.* **62** N.2 (2000), 187–224.
- [6] Canarutto, D.: *Quantum bundles and quantum interactions*, Int. J. Geom. Met. Mod. Phys., **2** N.5, (2005), 895–917.
- [7] Crumeyrolle, A.: *Orthogonal and Symplectic Clifford Algebras*, Kluwer, Dordrecht (1990).
- [8] Ferraris, M. and Kijowski J.: ‘Unified Geometric Theory of Electromagnetic and Gravitational Interactions’, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **14**, 1 (1982), 37–47.
- [9] Greub, W.: *Multilinear Algebra*, Springer, New York (1978).
- [10] Gronwald, F. and Hehl, F.W.: ‘On the Gauge Aspects of Gravity’, Proc. of the 14th Course of the School of Cosmology and Gravitation on *Quantum Gravity*, held at Erice, Italy, May 1995, P.G. Bergmann, V. de Sabbata and H.-J. Treder eds. World Scientific, Singapore (1996).
- [11] Hehl, F.W., McCrea, J.D., Mielke, E.W. and Ne’eman, Y.: ‘Metric-affine gauge theory of gravity: field equations, Noether identities, world spinors, and breaking of dilaton invariance’, *Phys. Rep.* **258** (1995), 1–171.
- [12] Penrose, R. and Rindler, W.: *Spinors and space-time. I: Two-spinor calculus and relativistic fields*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1984).
- [13] Regge, T.: ‘The group manifold approach to unified gravity’, in *Relativity, groups and topology II*, Les Houches 1983, B.S. DeWitt and R. Stora editors, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1984), 933–1005.